arXiv:1610.05446v2 [cs.LG] 19 Oct 2016

Provably Good Early Detection of Diseases using Non-Sparse Covariance-Regularized Linear Discriminant Analysis

Haoyi Xiong, Yanjie Fu, Wenqing Hu, Guanling Chen, Laura E. Barnes

Abstract

To improve the performance of Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) for early detection of diseases using Electronic Health Records (EHR) data, we propose E^2D^2 – a novel framework for *EHR based Early Detection of Diseases* on top of *Covariance-Regularized* LDA models. Specifically, E^2D^2 employs a *non-sparse* inverse covariance matrix (or namely precision matrix) estimator derived from graphical lasso and incorporates the estimator into LDA classifiers to improve classification accuracy. Theoretical analysis on E^2D^2 shows that it can bound the expected error rate of LDA classification, under certain assumptions. Finally, we conducted extensive experiments using a large-scale real-world EHR dataset – CHSN. We compared our solution with other regularized LDA and downstream classifiers. The result shows E^2D^2 outperforms all baselines and backups our theoretical analysis.

1 Introduction

The availability of Electronic Health Records (EHR) [1,2] in healthcare settings provides an unique opportunity for early detection of patients' potential diseases using their historical health records. To predict the patients' future disease using EHR data, existing work proposed to first extract useful features, such as diagnosis-frequencies [1–3], pairwise diagnosis transition [4,5], and graphs of diagnosis sequences [6], to represent each patient's EHR data using the representation learning techniques. Then, a series of supervised learning techniques have been adopted to train predictive models, such as Support Vector Machine (SVM), Random Forest (RF), Bayesian Network, Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) [1–4, 7], using well represented EHR data with the labels of the target disease.

Among these methods, LDA with diagnosis-frequency vectors is frequently used as one of the common performance benchmarks [4, 7], because of LDA's provable bayesian optimality [8]. However, recent studies demonstrate the limitation of LDA on high dimension data [9–12], such as the EHR records [13]. Because it is difficult to recover the "true" parameters, e.g., covariance matrix, from a *relatively small* number of samples [14]. According to the expected rate estimation for LDA classifiers [15, 16], LDA performs poorly with high misclassification rate, when the parameter estimation is inaccurate, under high dimension settings.

For example, *to predict some "less represented" diseases in primary care settings, such as depression & anxiety disorders, a small number of patients having been diagnosed with target disease are given to train the LDA model*. When the number of dimensions of EHR data is larger than the number of samples, the sample covariance estimation, which is frequently used in typical LDA, is singular and not invertible. Thus LDA cannot produce any valid prediction in this case. Even when the sample size is larger than the number of dimensions, the sample (inverse) covariance estimation could be quite different with the "true" (inverse) covariance matrix, as discussed in details in a recent survey [14].

To improve LDA learning, several regularization-based methods have been proposed to accurately estimate the (inverse) covariance matrix [10,17,18] or linear coefficients [9, 10] under high dimension and low sample size (HDLSS) settings [19]. One milestone is Covariance Regularized Discriminant Analysis proposed by *Witten and Tibshirani et al.* [12] based on their previous contribution to the sparse inverse covariance estimation using Graphical Lasso [20]. *While existing work enhanced LDA through pursuing the* sparsity of parameter estimation *[9, 10, 12, 20], in this work we introduce a novel* ***non-sparse (de-sparsified) inverse covariance matrix estimator*** *[21] for further performance improvement.*

Specifically, in this paper, we made following contributions:

1) We study the problem of improving the performance of early detection of diseases using LDA models and EHR data. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that focuses on lowering the expected error rate of LDA models with optimized parameter estimation, for EHR-based early detection of diseases, under High Dimension and Low Sample Size settings.

2) We proposed E^2D^2 based on regularized LDA models using diagnosis-frequency representation of EHR data. Different from the existing sparse LDA models, which regularize the covariance matrix [10] or linear classification coefficients [9] to leverage *sparse estimation of parameters*, the proposed method uses a ***non-sparse*** estimator based on graphical lasso [20] to work with LDA models. Theoretical analysis on E^2D^2 shows that it can bound the maximal ex-



Figure 1: An Example of a Patient's EHR Data

pected error rate of LDA classification, under certain structural assumptions.

3) We evaluated E^2D^2 extensively through experiments with a large-scale real-world EHR dataset– CHSN. In the experiments, we used E^2D^2 to predict the risk of mental health disorders for college students from 10 US universities, using their EHR data of physical disorders in past three years. We compared E^2D^2 with 7 baseline algorithms including other regularized LDA models and downstream classifiers. The evaluation result shows that E^2D^2 outperformed all baselines, and the empirical analysis further validated our theoretical analysis.

2 Preliminaries and Problem Formulation

In this section, we first introduce the early detection of diseases using traditional linear discriminant analysis (LDA). Then we present the analysis on the error rate of LDA classification, which may affects the accuracy of early disease detection. Finally, we formulate the research problem based on these discussions.

2.1 LDA for Early Detection of Disease

First of all, we introduce the EHR data representation using diagnosis-frequency vectors, and present settings of disease detection through binary classification of diagnosis-frequency vectors. Later, we briefly discuss the solution based on the typical LDA classifier.

Diagnosis-Frequency Vector based EHR Data Representation - There are many existing approaches to represent EHR data including the use of diagnosis-frequencies [1–3], pairwise diagnosis transition [4, 5], and graph representations of diagnosis sequences [6]. Among these approaches, the diagnosis-frequency is a common way to represent EHR data.

Given each patient's EHR data, as shown in Figure 1, this method first retrieves the diagnosis codes [22] recorded during each visit. Next, the frequency of each diagnosis appearing in all past visits are counted, followed by further transformation on the frequency of each diagnosis into a vector of frequencies. For example, $\langle 1, 0, \ldots, 3\rangle$, where 0 means the second diagnosis does not exist in all past visits, In this paper, we denote the dimension of diagnosis-frequency vectors as p. Note that the dimension $p \geq 15,000$ when using ICD-9 codes, $p \geq 250$ even when using clustered ICD-9 codes [23], while the number of samples for training m is significantly smaller than p.

Early Detection by Binary Classification - Given m training samples (i.e., EHR frequency vectors) along with corresponding labels i.e., $(x_0, l_0) \ldots (x_{m-1}, l_{m-1})$ where $l_i \in \{-1, +1\}$ refers to whether the patient i is diagnosed with the target disease or not (i.e., positive sample or negative sample), the early disease detection task is to determine if a new patient's data vector x would develop into the target disease by classifying the vector x to $+1$ (positive) or -1 (negative).

LDA for EHR Data Classification - To use the Fisher's Linear Discriminant Analysis (FDA), the data $(x_0, l_0) \ldots (x_{m-1}, l_{m-1})$ are first assumed to follow two unknown Gaussian distributions with the same covariance matrix Σ but two different means μ_+ and μ_-, i.e., $\mathcal{N}(\mu_+, \Sigma)$ for positive samples and $\mathcal{N}(\mu_-, \Sigma)$ for negative samples, respectively. Then, based on the sample covariance estimator, the covariance matrix is estimated using maximized likelihood estimator:

$$\bar{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}(x_i - \bar{\mu})(x_i - \bar{\mu})^T, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\bar{\mu}$ is the mean vector of all m training samples $(x_0, l_0) \ldots (x_{m-1}, l_{m-1})$; $\bar{\mu}_+$ and $\bar{\mu}_-$ are estimated as the mean vectors of the positive training samples and negative training samples in the m training samples, respectively.

Given all parameters $\bar{\Sigma}$, $\bar{\mu}_+$ and $\bar{\mu}_-$, the FDA model classifies a new patient's data x as the result of:

$$sign\left(log\frac{x^T\bar{\Sigma}^{-1}\bar{\mu}_+ - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\mu}_+^T\bar{\Sigma}^{-1}\bar{\mu}_+ + \log\pi_+}{x^T\bar{\Sigma}^{-1}\bar{\mu}_- - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\mu}_-^T\bar{\Sigma}^{-1}\bar{\mu}_- + \log\pi_-}\right), \tag{2.2}$$

where π_+ and π_- refer to the empirical frequencies of positive samples (e.g., patients with the target disease) and negative samples in the whole population.

Please note that the true covariance matrix Σ is unknown and the sample covariance matrix $\bar{\Sigma}$ and the true covariance matrix Σ can be very different. Only when the sample-dimension ratio $m/p \to +\infty$, we can expect the sample

covariance matrix estimation converge to the population covariance matrix so $\bar{\Sigma} \to \Sigma$. Further, when $p >> m$, the sample covariance matrix $\bar{\Sigma}$ is singular and cannot be invert i.e., $\bar{\Sigma}^{-1}$ may not exist. Though some existing works suggested to use pseudo-inverse to approximate the inverse covariace matrix, the accuracy of LDA might be low. More introduction to the covariance matrix estimation under HDLSS settings can be found in survey [14].

2.2 Performance Analysis of LDA

In this section, we summarize the series of studies [15, 16, 24, 25] in theoretical error rate estimation for LDA classifiers. Consider two p-dimension Gaussian Distributions $\mathcal{N}(\mu_+, \Sigma)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\mu_-, \Sigma)$ sharing the same covariance matrix Σ but with two different mean vectors μ_+ and μ_-, where Σ is assumed to be unknown. Given samples of these two distributions, we can estimate the covariance matrix $\hat{\Sigma}$, mean vectors $\hat{\mu}_+$ and $\hat{\mu}_-$. The expected error rate of a linear discriminant analysis (i.e., probability of the missed classification) [15, 16] is:

(2.3)

$$\varepsilon(\mu_+, \mu_-, \Sigma, \hat{\mu}_+, \hat{\mu}_-, \hat{\Sigma}) =$$
$$\pi_+ * \Phi\left(-\frac{(\mu_+ - \frac{(\hat{\mu}_+ + \hat{\mu}_-)}{2})^T \hat{\Sigma}^{-1}(\hat{\mu}_+ - \hat{\mu}_-)}{\sqrt{(\hat{\mu}_+ - \hat{\mu}_-)^T \hat{\Sigma}^{-1}\Sigma\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}(\hat{\mu}_+ - \hat{\mu}_-)}}\right)$$
$$+ \pi_- * \Phi\left(\frac{(\mu_- - \frac{(\hat{\mu}_+ + \hat{\mu}_-)}{2})^T \hat{\Sigma}^{-1}(\hat{\mu}_+ - \hat{\mu}_-)}{\sqrt{(\hat{\mu}_+ - \hat{\mu}_-)^T \hat{\Sigma}^{-1}\Sigma\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}(\hat{\mu}_+ - \hat{\mu}_-)}}\right)$$

where Φ refers to the CDF function of a standard normal distribution.

It is obvious that the expected error rate is sensitive with the parameters $\mu_+, \mu_-, \Sigma, \hat{\mu}_+, \hat{\mu}_-$ and $\hat{\Sigma}$, while the true parameters μ_+, μ_-, Σ are assumed unknown. Even under the HDLSS settings, with a certain number of samples, it is reasonable to assume the sample estimation of mean vectors $\bar{\mu}_+$ and $\bar{\mu}_-$ should be close to the population mean vectors, i.e., $\mu_+ - \bar{\mu}_+ \to 0$, $\mu_- \bar{\mu}_- \to 0$, and $\mu - \bar{\mu} \to 0$. Thus, the expected error rate of the LDA model based on the sample mean vectors $\bar{\mu}_+$ and $\bar{\mu}_-$ can be reduced to

(2.4)

$$\varepsilon(\Sigma, \hat{\Sigma}) = \Phi\left(-\frac{(\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-)^T \hat{\Sigma}^{-1}(\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-)}{2\sqrt{(\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-)^T \hat{\Sigma}^{-1}\Sigma\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}(\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-)}}\right).$$

In this way, to improve the LDA classifier with the sample mean vectors, there needs an estimator $\hat{\Sigma}$ to minimize or lower the expected error rate $\varepsilon(\Sigma, \hat{\Sigma})$.

2.3 Problem Definition

Inspired by the above preliminaries, we consider the research problem of improving the performance of LDA for early disease detection as minimizing the expected error rate listed in 2.3. However, the true parameter Σ are not known in our research settings.

Thus, given the training set $(x_0, l_0) \dots (x_{m-1}, l_{m-1})$, the objective thereby can be further reduced to find the OPTIMAL estimation of $\hat{\Sigma}$, $\hat{\mu}_+$ and $\hat{\mu}_-$ that minimize the *expectation* of the expected error rate among all possible true parameters $\mu_+ \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $\mu_- \in \mathbb{R}^p$, and $\Sigma \in I^+_{p\times p}$:

$$\underset{\hat{\mu}_+, \hat{\mu}_-, \hat{\Sigma}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \int_{\Sigma \in I^+_{p\times p}} \varepsilon(\Sigma, \hat{\Sigma}) L((x_0, l_0) \dots | \Sigma) d_\Sigma \tag{2.5}$$

where $I^+_{p\times p}$ is the overall set of $p \times p$ positive-definite matrices, and $L((x_0, l_0) \dots | \Sigma)$ is defined as the likelihood of the observed training samples $(x_0, l_0) \dots (x_{m-1}, l_{m-1})$ with the given Σ. Note that, when we have no prior knowledge about the true Σ, we can use the likelihood as the probability of the true parameters when training samples are given. A good solution to this problem should be able to minimize, and even bound the expectation of the expected error rate.

3 E^2D^2: EHR-based Early Detection of Diseases using Non-Sparse Covariance-Regularized LDA

In this section, we introduce our proposed method for early disease detection using EHR diagnosis-frequency vectors.

Considering the computational complexity of the parameter search, we do not try to simply minimize the objective function in Eq. 2.5. Our proposed method consists of two phases: it first estimates a non-sparse inverse covariance matrix using with the training data set; then it incorporates the new estimation of inverse covariance matrix into a LDA classifier to predict whether the new patient will develop the targeted disease.

3.1 Non-Sparse Inverse Covariance Matrix Estimation Using EHR Diagnosis Vectors

Given the EHR diagnosis-frequency vectors $x_0, \dots x_{m-1}$, this phase learns a non-sparse covariance matrix, with the following three steps.

Step 1. Estimate Sample Mean Vectors and Covariance Matrix - The proposed method first estimates the sample mean vectors $\bar{\mu}_+$ and $\bar{\mu}_-$ using the training samples $(x_0, l_0), (x_1, l_1), \dots (x_{m-1}, l_{m-1})$. Then with the training samples, this step estimates the sample covariance matrix $\bar{\Sigma}$ using *maximized likelihood estimator* addressed in Equation. 2.1.

Step 2. Estimate Sparse Inverse Covariance Matrix - Given the sample covariance matrix $\hat{\Sigma}$, this method estimates a sparse inverse covariance matrix $\hat{\Theta}$ using the Graphical Lasso estimator:

(3.6)

$$\hat{\Theta} = \underset{\Theta \in I^+_{p\times p}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left(\operatorname{tr}(\bar{\Sigma}\Theta) - \log\det(\Theta) + \lambda \sum_{j \neq k} |\Theta_{jk}|\right).$$

Graphical Lasso can be considered as a ℓ_1-penalized negative log-likelihood minimization estimator, which provides a sparse inverse covariance matrix $\hat{\Theta}$.

Step 3. De-sparsify Inverse Covariance Matrix - Given the graphical lasso estimation $\hat{\Sigma}$ and the sample estimation $\bar{\Sigma}$, this step outputs a non-sparse inverse covariance matrix $\hat{T}$ through de-sparsified $\hat{\Sigma}$. The calculation is as follow:

$$\hat{T} = 2\hat{\Theta} - \hat{\Theta}\bar{\Sigma}\hat{\Theta},$$

where $\bar{\Sigma}$ refers to the sample covariance matrix and $\hat{\Theta}$ refers to the graphical lasso estimation of inverse covariance matrix.

3.2 Integrated LDA Classification

Given the estimated mean vectors $\bar{\mu}_+$, $\bar{\mu}_-$, inverse covariance matrix $\hat{T}$, and a vector of new patient x, E^2D^2 decide if the patient will develop the target disease, using a FDA model derived from Eq. 2.2 as:

$$sign\left(log\frac{x^T\hat{T}\bar{\mu}_+ - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\mu}_+^T\hat{T}\bar{\mu}_+ + \log\pi_+}{x^T\hat{T}\bar{\mu}_- - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\mu}_-^T\hat{T}\bar{\mu}_- + \log\pi_-}\right), \tag{3.7}$$

When above equation returns +1, then E^2D^2 classifies x as the patient who will develop the target disease. We call above LDA derivation as *Non-Sparse Covariance-Regularized Discriminant Analysis*, with respect to Witen and Tibishirani's sparse *Covariance-Regularized Discriminant Analysis* [12], which was based on the Graphical Lasso.

4 Algorithm Analysis

We report the theoretical analysis of E^2D^2, as follow:

1. We first introduce a new *upper bound* of expected error rate $\varepsilon(\hat{\Sigma}, \Sigma)$, which is tightly sensitive with $||\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}||_F$, $||\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} - \Sigma^{-1}||_F$ and $||\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-||$. However, Σ is assumed unknown, thus this upper bound is not deterministic.

2. Then we introduce a key existing theory [21] on the de-sparsified graphical lasso estimation $\hat{T}$, which proves $||\hat{T} - \Sigma^{-1}||_\infty = \mathcal{O}_p\left(\sqrt{log\ p/m}\right)$, under certain sparsity assumptions.

3. Through combining above two bounds, we can have a new upper bound of $\varepsilon(\hat{T}^{-1}, \Sigma)$ which is sensitive with the known estimation $\hat{T}$, the known mean gap $||\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-||$ and known parameters p and m.

Result 1. *An upper bound of $\varepsilon(\hat{\Sigma}, \Sigma)$, which is sensitive to $||\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}||_F$, $||\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} - \Sigma^{-1}||_F$ and $||\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-||$:*

$$\varepsilon(\hat{\Sigma}, \Sigma) = \Phi\left(-\frac{(\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-)^T\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}(\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-)}{2\sqrt{(\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-)^T\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}\Sigma\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}(\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-)}}\right)$$
$$\leq \Phi\left(-\frac{||\mu_+ - \mu_-||_2}{2}\sqrt{||\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}||_F + ||\Sigma^{-1} - \hat{\Sigma}^{-1}||_F}\right) \tag{4.8}$$

Proof of Result 1.

$$\varepsilon(\hat{\Sigma}, \Sigma)$$
$$= \Phi\left(-\frac{(\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-)^T\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}(\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-)}{2\left((\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-)^T\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}\Sigma\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}(\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)$$
$$= \Phi\left(-\frac{\left\langle(\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-), \hat{\Sigma}^{-1}(\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-)\right\rangle}{2||\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}(\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-)||_\Sigma}\right)$$
$$\leq \Phi\left(-\frac{||\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-||}{2}\ \frac{||\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}(\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-)||}{||\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}(\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-)||_\Sigma}\right)$$

Since Σ is a symmetric positive-definite matrix, we can consider the Cholesky Decomposition of Σ as $\Sigma = M^TM$. Thus, we have

$$||\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}(\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-)|| = ||M^{-1}M\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}(\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-)||$$
$$\leq ||M^{-1}||_F||M\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}(\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-)||,$$

where $||M^{-1}||_F$ refers to the Frobenius norm of M^{-1}. According definition of postive-definite-norm, we have

$$||\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}(\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-)||_\Sigma = ||M\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}(\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-)||.$$

Then, we have

$$\varepsilon(\hat{\Sigma}, \Sigma)$$
$$\leq \Phi\left(-\frac{||\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-||}{2}\ \frac{||M^{-1}||_F||M\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}(\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-)||}{||M\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}(\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-)||}\right)$$
$$= \Phi\left(-\frac{||\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-||}{2}||M^{-1}||_F\right)$$

Since Σ^{-1} is a symmetric positive-definite matrix, we consider the Cholesky Decomposition of Σ^{-1} as $\Sigma^{-1} = (M^TM)^{-1} = (M^T)^{-1}M^{-1} = (M^{-1})^TM^{-1}$. Besides, there exists $||M^{-1}||_F^2 \leq ||M^{-1}(M^{-1})^T||_F = ||\Sigma^{-1}||_F$. Then, we have

$$\varepsilon(\hat{\Sigma}, \Sigma) \leq \Phi\left(-\frac{||\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-||}{2}\sqrt{||M^{-1}(M^{-1})^T||_F}\right)$$
$$= \Phi\left(-\frac{||\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-||}{2}\sqrt{||\Sigma^{-1}||_F}\right)$$

We consider the error of precision matrix estimation as $\Delta = \Sigma^{-1} - \hat{\Sigma}^{-1}$, Considering the triangle inequality, we have $||\Sigma^{-1}|| = ||\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} + \Delta|| \leq ||\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}|| + ||\Delta||$. Then we can conclude:

$$\varepsilon(\hat{\Sigma}, \Sigma) \leq \Phi\left(-\frac{||\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-||}{2}\sqrt{||\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} + \Delta||_F}\right)$$
$$\leq \Phi\left(-\frac{||\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-||}{2}\sqrt{||\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}||_F + ||\Delta||_F}\right)$$
$$= \Phi\left(-\frac{||\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-||}{2}\sqrt{||\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}||_F + ||\Sigma^{-1} - \hat{\Sigma}^{-1}||_F}\right)$$

□

Result 2. *Stochastic Bound of $||\hat{T} - \Sigma^{-1}||_F$* - According to [21], suppose $\hat{T}$ is the de-sparsified graphical lasso esti-

mation and Σ refers to the true population covariance matrix, under specific structural assumption [21]:

$$d = o(\sqrt{m}/log\ p) \tag{4.9}$$

we have

$$||\hat{T} - \Sigma^{-1}||_\infty = \mathcal{O}_p\left(\sqrt{log\ p/m}\right),$$

where p and m refer to the dimension and sample size, respectively; d refers to the maximal vector support of the population inverse covariance matrix Σ^{-1} i.e.,

$$d = max_{1\le i\le p}|\{j : \Sigma^{-1}_{i,j} \neq 0\}|;$$

further $o(\cdot)$ and $\mathcal{O}_p(\cdot)$ are little-o notation and big-O in probability (the notations were defined in [26]) respectively. Then, we can further conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
||\hat{T} - \Sigma^{-1}||_F &= \sqrt{\sum_{1\le i\le p}\sum_{1\le j\le p}|(\hat{T} - \Sigma^{-1})_{i,j}|^2} \\
&\le \sqrt{\left(\sum_{1\le i\le p}\sum_{1\le j\le p}|(\hat{T} - \Sigma^{-1})_{i,j}|\right)^2} \\
&\le p * \max_{1\le i\le p}\sum_{1\le j\le p}|(\hat{T} - \Sigma^{-1})_{i,j}| \\
&= p * ||\hat{T} - \Sigma^{-1}||_\infty \\
&= p * \mathcal{O}_p\left(\sqrt{log\ p/m}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Result 3. *A new stochastic upper bound of $\varepsilon(\hat{T}^{-1}, \Sigma)$, which is sensitive to the known estimation $||\hat{T}||_F$, the known mean gap $||\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-||$ as well as known parameters p and m:* Through combining Result 1. and Result 2., we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon(\hat{T}^{-1}, \Sigma) \\
&\le \Phi\left(-\frac{||\bar{\mu}_+ - \bar{\mu}_-||}{2}\sqrt{||\hat{T}||_F + p * \mathcal{O}_p\left(\sqrt{log\ p/m}\right)}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Remark. Above theoretical analysis shows that *Non-Sparse Covariance-Regularized Discriminant Analysis* algorithm used in E^2D^2 can stochastically bound the maximal expected error rate of LDA classification under two major assumptions: 1) the data (e.g., EHR diagnosis-frequency vectors) should be gaussian or subgaussian; and 2) the population inverse covariance matrix should follow the structural assumption [21] listed in Equation 4.9. In the practical usage of our method, these two assumptions might be violated. Fortunately, we can test our algorithms using the large-scale EHR data sets. The evaluation results show Non-Sparse Covariance-Regularized Discriminant Analysis (E^2D^2) outperformed typical LDA and other regularized LDA thus validating our theory.

Note that [27] demonstrated that the Frobenius norm rate of convergence for graphical lasso is $\mathcal{O}_p(\sqrt{(p+d)\ log\ p/m})$ under a mild condition, which can also bound the maximal expected error but not as tight as Equation 4.11.

5 Experimental Results

In this section, we introduce the experimental design of our evaluation. Then we present the experimental results, including the performance comparison between the E^2D^2 framework, existing LDA baselines and other predictive models. Later a comparison between inverse covariance matrix supports our theoretical analysis of E^2D^2.

5.1 Experiment Setups

Data Description - In this study, to evaluate E^2D^2, we used the de-identified EHR data from the College Health Surveillance Network (CHSN), which contains over 1 million patients and 6 million visits from 31 student health centers across the United States [28]. In the experiments, we use the EHR data from 10 participating schools. The available information includes ICD-9 diagnostic codes, CPT procedural codes, and limited demographic information. There are over 200,000 enrolled students in those 10 schools representing all geographic regions of the US. The demography of enrolled students (sex, race/ethnicity, age, undergraduate/graduate status) closely matched the demography for the population of US universities.

Data Preparation - Among all diseases recorded in CHSN, we choose mental health disorders, including *anxiety disorders, mood disorders, depression disorders, and other related disorders*, as the targeted disease for early detection. We represent each patient using his/her diagnosis-frequency vector based on the clustered codeset, where four clustered codes (i.e., 651, 657, 658, 662) are considered to represent the diagnoses of mental health disorders. Specifically, if a patient has any of these four codes in his/her EHR, we say that he/she has been diagnosed with mental health disorders as ground truth.

Note that in our research, we do not predict these four types of mental disorders separately, as these four disorders are usually correlated and heavily overlapped in clinical practices [29]. Further, patients with less than two visits were excluded from the analysis. Notably, the visit data and corresponding diagnosis information within one-month (i.e., 30–90 days) of the first diagnosis of anxiety/depression in the target group is excluded for the aim of early detection at least 1 to 3-months prior to diagnosis. Until now, the diagnosis-frequency vectors used as predictors in our experiment only include the diagnosis frequency of physical health disorders and all mental health related information has been removed. In this case, our experiment is equivalent to predicting whether a patient would develop mental health disorders according to his/her past diagnoses of physical disorders.

Evaluation Metrics - To demonstrate the effectiveness of our method, we compared our method with baseline

Table 1: Performance Comparison between E^2D^2 and LDA Baselines (Testing Sample Size =1000×2, 90 days in advance), where "ACC." refers to accuracy and "F1." refers to F1-Score. Full comparison tables with accuracy, F1-score, sensitivity, specificity, and standard derivations are addressed in Appendix

		Training Set $\times 2$									
		50		100		150		200		250	
Algorithm	Parameter	ACC.	F1.	ACC.	F1.	ACC.	F1.	ACC.	F1.	ACC.	F1.
LDA		0.548	0.536	0.599	0.594	0.620	0.621	0.630	0.637	0.643	0.643
DIAG		0.564	0.498	0.606	0.576	0.619	0.608	0.616	0.608	0.634	0.636
Shrinkage (β)	0.25	0.559	0.462	0.595	0.522	0.610	0.552	0.624	0.625	0.636	0.639
	0.5	0.558	0.459	0.591	0.517	0.606	0.552	0.612	0.565	0.639	0.639
	0.75	0.556	0.412	0.589	0.519	0.620	0.615	0.614	0.566	0.625	0.574
CRDA (λ)	1.0	0.653	0.673	0.680	0.714	0.682	0.718	0.682	0.723	0.682	0.724
	10.0	0.663	0.702	0.670	0.719	0.677	0.722	0.674	0.721	0.669	0.720
	100.0	0.663	0.707	0.663	0.717	0.671	0.722	0.670	0.720	0.663	0.718
$E^2D^2(\lambda)$	1.0	0.650	0.659	0.681	0.703	0.679	0.704	0.685	0.716	0.688	0.719
	10.0	0.665	0.700	0.681	0.725	0.681	0.724	0.679	0.724	0.680	0.726
	100.0	0.665	0.708	0.665	0.718	0.673	0.722	0.672	0.721	0.666	0.719
Log. Reg.		0.601	0.436	0.607	0.422	0.603	0.440	0.575	0.318	0.619	0.492
SVM		0.627	0.619	0.642	0.646	0.642	0.646	0.653	0.669	0.661	0.670
AdaBoost	10	0.635	0.565	0.641	0.554	0.615	0.488	0.597	0.414	0.624	0.508
	50	0.624	0.521	0.644	0.560	0.614	0.482	0.612	0.465	0.622	0.502

algorithms in terms of the following metrics:

$$\begin{aligned} Accuracy &= \frac{TP+TN}{TP+TN+FP+FN}, \\ \text{F1-score} &= \frac{2*TP}{2*TP+FP+FN} \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

where TP, TN, FP, and FN refer to the true-positive, true-negative, false-positive, and false-negative classification samples in early detection of mental health disorders respectively. Specifically, the Accuracy metric characterizes the proportion of patients who are accurately classified in the early detection of mental disorders. The F1-Score measures both correctness and completeness of the early detection.

Baseline Algorithms - To understand the performance impact of E^2D^2 beyond classic LDA, we first propose four LDA baseline approaches to compare against E^2D^2, then three discriminative learning models are prepared for the comparison:

- *LDA*, *Shrinkage* and *DIAG* – These three algorithm are all based on the common implementation of generalized Fishier's discriminant analysis listed in Equation 2.2. Specifically, *LDA* uses the sample covariance estimation, and inverts the covariance matrix using pseudo-inverse [30] when the matrix inverse is not available; *Shrinkage* is based on *LDA*, using a sparse estimation of sample covariance: $\Sigma^* = \beta * \bar{\Sigma} + (1-\beta) * diag(\bar{\Sigma})$, where $diag(\bar{\Sigma})$ refers to the diagonal matrix of the sample estimation $\bar{\Sigma}$. *DIAG* is a special *Shrinkage approach* with $\beta = 0.0$.
- *CRDA* – This algorithm is a regularized LDA proposed in Witten and Tibshirani et al. [12], which leverage the inverse covariance matrix estimated by Graphical Lasso Estimator addressed in Equation 3.6.
- *Support Vector Machine (SVM)* – Inspired by the previous studies [1, 2, 4], we use a linear binary SVM classifier with fine-tuned parameters.
- *Logistic Regression (Logit. Reg.)* – Inspired by the recent progress in depression prediction [31], we use a Logistic Regression classifier.
- *AdaBoost-10* and *AdaBoost-50* – To compare an ensemble of learning methods, we use AdaBoost to ensemble multiple Logistic Regression classifiers, where AdaBoost-10 refers to the AdaBoost classifier based on 10 Logistic Regression instances and AdaBoost-50 refers to the one with 50 Logistic Regression instances.

With the seven algorithms, we perform experiments with following settings:

- **Training Samples —** we randomly selected 50, 100, 150, 200, and 250 patients with mental health disorders as the positive training samples, and randomly selected

the same number of patients having not been diagnosed with any mental health disorders as negative training samples. Thus the training set of the two classes of patients is balanced.

- **Testing Samples —** we randomly selected 200 and 1000 patients (not included in the training set) from both positive/negative groups as the testing set. Thus the testing set is also balanced.

For each setting, we execute the seven algorithms and repeat 30 times.

5.2 Experiment Results

Overall Comparison - Table 1 presents the performance of our method and baselines on 1000 testing samples, while all other results are attached in the appendix [32]. As can be seen from the experiment results, E^2D^2 clearly outperforms the baseline algorithms in terms of overall accuracy, and F1-score. Specifically, E^2D^2 achieves 18.6%–21.3% increase in accuracy and 22.9%–32% increase in F1-score compared to LDA; E^2D^2 achieves 17.9% increase in accuracy and 31.5%–40.6% increase in F1-score compared to DIAG. Compared to Shrinkage and CRDA, the accuracy and F1-score of E^2D^2 in most parameter settings are 0.3%–18.9% higher and 0.14%–71.8% higher, respectively. Compared to those robust classifiers such as SVM, Logistic Regression, and AdaBoost, E^2D^2 still clearly outperforms these baseline algorithms. Thus, we can conclude that E^2D^2 overall outperforms the baseline algorithms in all experimental settings.

Empirical Analysis - We hypothesize E^2D^2 improves LDA because that the non-sparse inverse covariance matrix used in E^2D^2 is more "precise" than the sample inverse covariance matrix used in simple LDA models when the training sample size is limited. In order to verify our hypothesis, we (1) gathered the EHR data of all 21,097 patients with mental health disorders from CHSN (4 years EHR of 23 US Universities); (2) randomly selected 10,000 patients with mental health disorders and another 10,000 patients without mental disorders to estimate the covariance matrix Σ_l, (3) randomly selected another 50 to 200 samples to train LDA, E^2D^2 and CRDA; and (4) further compared the (pseudo) inverse covariance matrix Σ_l^{-1*} [1] to the inverse covariance matrices estimated in LDA (Σ_S^{-1*}), CRDA ($\hat{\Theta}$) and E^2D^2 ($\hat{T}$) separately through measuring the error of matrices. We repeat steps 1 through 4 for a total 30 trials so as to obtain the average error between the inverse covariance matrices (same as negative samples and Σ_-).

Figure 2 presents the average error between inverse covariance matrices in ℓ_1-norm. The results show that, compared to LDA, the inverse covariance matrix estimated in

[1] Pseudo inverse is adopted by classical LDA, when $p > m$



Figure 2: ℓ_1-norm Error Comparisons of Estimators on Different Sample Sizes

Table 2: $\left(|\bar{\Sigma}_l^{-1} - \hat{\Theta}|_1 - |\bar{\Sigma}_l^{-1} - \hat{T}|_1\right)$ with varying λ (positive values mean $\hat{T}$ is closer to $\bar{\Sigma}_l^{-1}$)

Samples ($\times 2$)	$\lambda = 0.1$	$\lambda = 1.0$	$\lambda = 10.0$
50	47.17	7.12	0.76
100	51.42	7.21	0.76
150	53.41	7.25	0.76
200	55.90	7.32	0.76

E^2D^2 using small samples is **closer** to the inverse covariance matrix estimated using large samples. Clearly the estimation used by CRDA is very close to the Non-sparse estimator. We compared the ℓ_1-norm error of these two estimators and the results in Table 2 show that No-sparse estimator can always outperform Graphical Lasso with less error. Note that in our experiment, we simulated a training set with a relatively large sample size (i.e., 10,000). However, for realistic predictive model training, such a large number of samples is usually not available.

6 Related Work

In this section, we first summarize previous studies related to this paper from two aspects: *data mining approaches to early detection of diseases* and *extensions to LDA learning*. Then we compare our work to the most relevant work. Further, we discuss several open issues of our study.

6.1 Data Mining Approaches to EHR-based Early Detection of Disease

Given the raw EHR data, existing data mining efforts to EHR-based early detection first learn a set of features from EHR data to represent each patient. Specifically, the EHR data of each patient was represented as a vector consisting of the frequency of each diagnosis code that has been discovered in previous visits [1–3]. EHR data can also be represented using N-gram-alike [33] graphs, through counting the pairwise transitions between each pair of diagnosis codes in every visit [4, 5]. Most recently, Liu et al. proposed to rep-

resent the EHR of a patient using the temporal graphs, in order to preserve the temporal order of diagnoses partially [6]. To reduce the dimensionality of EHR data, clustered ICD-9 codes [23] have been frequently used in practice, where each ICD-9 diagnosis code can map to one of 295 groups, compressing each raw diagnosis-frequency vector ($\geq 15,000$ dimensions) to roughly 295 dimensions. Liu et al. discussed the method of dimensionality reduction for temporal EHR graphs through edge selection [6].

Given EHR data represented with vectors and graphs, researchers have proposed to predict the target disease through supervised learning, using downstream classifiers [4] or similarity search [1–3]. Given the EHR data with rich structures, sub-sequential pattern matching and sub-graph pattern matching are also leveraged to identify the risk of patients [5, 6].

6.2 Extensions to LDA Models

We introduce several statistical extensions to LDA in HDLSS settings to address the challenges presented by EHR data. As discussed above, when LDA works in HDLSS, there exists two major technical issues: 1) as shown in Equation 2.2, LDA requires the inverse covariance matrix for calculation, but the sample covariance matrix used in typical LDA is usually singular (non-invertible); and 2) the difference between sample (inverse) covariance matrix and the population (inverse) covariance matrix is extremely large, simulation studies [34] showed that the eignvectors of the two matrices can be nearly orthogonal. To handle the singular (non-invertible) covariance matrix issue, Ye et al. [30] proposed to use the Pseudo-inverse, while Direct LDA [35] leveraged the simultaneous diagonalization, to replace the matrix inverse operator. On the other hand, to obtain accurate parameter estimation for LDA under HDLSS settings, several works have proposed to sparsify the inverse covariance matrix [10–12] and linear coefficients [9].

6.3 Comparing E^2D^2 to Existing Work

In summary, E^2D^2 is distinct in three ways:

1. First, compared to other data mining approaches for early diseases detection [1–6], E^2D^2 is the first work that focuses on improving the performance of LDA model for EHR data classification with diagnosis-frequency vector data representation, by addressing the expected error rates under HDLSS settings.

2. Second, our contribution is complementary with the work in EHR data representation [4–6] and we can further improve E^2D^2 by incorporating advanced EHR data representation methods.

3. Third, when compared to existing LDA extensions, E^2D^2 adopts a novel inverse covariance matrix estimator [21] to lower and bound the expected error rate of the LDA model with theoretical guarantee under HDLSS settings, while [9–12] all focus on regularizing the the parameters of LDA using the "heuristics of sparsity".

To best of our knowledge, this paper is the first study that integrates [21] with LDA for *Non-sparse Covariance-Regularized Discriminant Analysis* and presents its theoretical properties.

7 Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed E^2D^2 – a novel linear discriminant analysis framework for early detection of diseases, based on electronic health record data and diagnosis-frequency vector data representation. E^2D^2 is designed to lower the expected error rate of LDA model using high-dimensional EHR data, through regularizing the covariance matrix with a non-sparse (de-sparsified) inverse covariance estimator derived from Graphical Lasso. Our theoretical analysis showed that the proposed algorithm can stochastically bound the maximal expected error rate of LDA for high-dimensional data classification. The experimental results using real-world EHR dataset CHSN showed E^2D^2 outperformed all baseline algorithms. Further the empirical studies on estimator comparison validated our theoretical analysis.

References

[1] Jimeng Sun, Fei Wang, Jianying Hu, and Shahram Edabollahi. Supervised patient similarity measure of heterogeneous patient records. *ACM SIGKDD Explorations Newsletter*, 14(1):16–24, 2012.

[2] Jianying Hu Fei Wang Kenney Ng, Jimeng Sun. Personalized predictive modeling and risk factor identification using patient similarity. *AMIA Summit on Clinical Research Informatics (CRI)*, 2015.

[3] Fei Wang and Jimeng Sun. Psf: A unified patient similarity evaluation framework through metric learning with weak supervision. *Biomedical and Health Informatics, IEEE Journal of*, 19(3):1053–1060, May 2015.

[4] Yu Huang Hao Wu Kevin Leach Laura E. Barnes Jinghe Zhang, Haoyi Xiong. MSEQ: Early detection of anxiety and depression via temporal orders of diagnoses in electronic health data. In *Big Data (Workshop), 2015 International Conference on*. IEEE, 2015.

[5] Susan Jensen and UK SPSS. Mining medical data for predictive and sequential patterns: Pkdd 2001. In *Proceedings of the 5th European Conference on Principles and Practice of Knowledge Discovery in Databases*, 2001.

[6] Chuanren Liu, Fei Wang, Jianying Hu, and Hui Xiong. Temporal Phenotyping from Longitudinal Electronic Health Records: A Graph Based Framework. In *Proceedings of the 21th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, KDD '15, pages 705–714, New York, NY, USA, 2015. ACM.

[7] Fei Wang, Ping Zhang, Xiang Wang, and Jianying Hu. Clinical risk prediction by exploring high-order feature correlations. In *AMIA Annual Symposium Proceedings*, volume 2014, page 1170. American Medical Informatics Association, 2014.

[8] Onur C Hamsici and Aleix M Martinez. Bayes optimality in linear discriminant analysis. *Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on*, 30(4):647–657, 2008.

[9] Line Clemmensen, Trevor Hastie, Daniela Witten, and Bjarne Ersbøll. Sparse discriminant analysis. *Technometrics*, 53(4), 2011.

[10] Jun Shao, Yazhen Wang, Xinwei Deng, Sijian Wang, et al. Sparse linear discriminant analysis by thresholding for high dimensional data. *The Annals of statistics*, 39(2):1241–1265, 2011.

[11] Zhihua Qiao, Lan Zhou, and Jianhua Z Huang. Effective linear discriminant analysis for high dimensional, low sample size data. In *Proceeding of the World Congress on Engineering*, volume 2, pages 2–4. Citeseer, 2008.

[12] Daniela M Witten and Robert Tibshirani. Covariance-regularized regression and classification for high dimensional problems. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)*, 71(3):615–636, 2009.

[13] Joyce C Ho, Joydeep Ghosh, and Jimeng Sun. Marble: high-throughput phenotyping from electronic health records via sparse nonnegative tensor factorization. In *Proceedings of the 20th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 115–124. ACM, 2014.

[14] T Tony Cai, Zhao Ren, Harrison H Zhou, et al. Estimating structured high-dimensional covariance and precision matrices: Optimal rates and adaptive estimation. *Electronic Journal of Statistics*, 10(1):1–59, 2016.

[15] Amin Zollanvari and Edward R Dougherty. Random matrix theory in pattern classification: An application to error estimation. In *2013 Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems and Computers*, 2013.

[16] Amin Zollanvari, Ulisses M Braga-Neto, and Edward R Dougherty. Analytic study of performance of error estimators for linear discriminant analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 59(9):4238–4255, 2011.

[17] Roger Peck and John Van Ness. The use of shrinkage estimators in linear discriminant analysis. *Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on*, (5):530–537, 1982.

[18] Juwei Lu, Konstantinos N Plataniotis, and Anastasios N Venetsanopoulos. Regularization studies of linear discriminant analysis in small sample size scenarios with application to face recognition. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 26(2):181–191, 2005.

[19] Peter Bühlmann and Sara Van De Geer. *Statistics for high-dimensional data: methods, theory and applications*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2011.

[20] Jerome Friedman, Trevor Hastie, and Robert Tibshirani. Sparse inverse covariance estimation with the graphical lasso. *Biostatistics*, 9(3):432–441, 2008.

[21] Jana Jankova, Sara van de Geer, et al. Confidence intervals for high-dimensional inverse covariance estimation. *Electronic Journal of Statistics*, 9(1):1205–1229, 2015.

[22] Erik R Dubberke, Kimberly A Reske, L Clifford McDonald, and Victoria J Fraser. Icd-9 codes and surveillance for clostridium difficile–associated disease. *Emerging infectious diseases*, 12(10):1576, 2006.

[23] HCUP. Appendix a - clinical classification software-diagnoses (january 1980 through september 2014), 2014.

[24] Peter A Lachenbruch and M Ray Mickey. Estimation of error rates in discriminant analysis. *Technometrics*, 10(1):1–11, 1968.

[25] Wei Bian and Dacheng Tao. Asymptotic generalization bound of fishers linear discriminant analysis. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 36(12):2325–2337, 2014.

[26] Herman Chernoff. Large-sample theory: Parametric case. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 27(1):1–22, 1956.

[27] Adam J Rothman, Peter J Bickel, Elizaveta Levina, Ji Zhu, et al. Sparse permutation invariant covariance estimation. *Electronic Journal of Statistics*, 2:494–515, 2008.

[28] James C. Turner and Adrienne Keller. College Health Surveillance Network: Epidemiology and Health Care Utilization of College Students at U.S. 4-Year Universities. *Journal of American college health: J of ACH*, page 0, June 2015.

[29] Kenneth S Kendler, John M Hettema, Frank Butera, Charles O Gardner, and Carol A Prescott. Life event dimensions of loss, humiliation, entrapment, and danger in the prediction of onsets of major depression and generalized anxiety. *Archives of general psychiatry*, 60(8):789–796, 2003.

[30] Jieping Ye, Ravi Janardan, Cheong Hee Park, and Haesun Park. An optimization criterion for generalized discriminant analysis on undersampled problems. *Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on*, 26(8):982–994, 2004.

[31] Sandy H Huang, Paea LePendu, Srinivasan V Iyer, Ming Tai-Seale, David Carrell, and Nigam H Shah. Toward personalizing treatment for depression: predicting diagnosis and severity. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 21(6):1069–1075, 2014.

[32] Appendix: Provably good early detection of diseases using non-sparse covariance-regularized linear discriminant analysis - https://www.dropbox.com/s/niazhtc4j8ueyic/appendix-main.pdf, 2016.

[33] Peter F Brown, Peter V Desouza, Robert L Mercer, Vincent J Della Pietra, and Jenifer C Lai. Class-based n-gram models of natural language. *Computational linguistics*, 18(4):467–479, 1992.

[34] Iain M Johnstone. On the distribution of the largest eigenvalue in principal components analysis. *Annals of statistics*, pages 295–327, 2001.

[35] Hua Yu and Jie Yang. A direct lda algorithm for high-dimensional datawith application to face recognition. *Pattern recognition*, 34(10):2067–2070, 2001.

A Appendix

In this Appendix, we include additional experiment results of E^2D^2 evaluation. In following tables, we present the performance comparison between E^2D^2 and baselines, where we introduce the results in terms of accuracy, F1-score, sensitivity, specificity, as well as the standard derivations. Specifically, we compare the performance using various experimental settings, such as the number of days in advance for early detection(e.g., 30 days, 60 days and 90 days) as well as by varying parameters for model training. E^2D^2 clearly outperforms other algorithms in terms of overall accuracy, F1-score and sensitivity. In terms of specificity, the baseline algorithms outperform E^2D^2, in the most of cases. However, in terms of sensitivity and specificity trade-off, E^2D^2 on average gains 19.5% higher sensitivity while sacrificing 8.2% specificity, when compared to typical LDA. On opposite side of the trade-off, when compared to CRDA (based on graphical lasso), E^2D^2 on average gains 2.3% higher specificity while sacrificing 1.4% sensitivity. Thus, we can conclude that E^2D^2 overall outperforms the baseline algorithms in all experimental settings.

Table 3: Performance Comparison with Training Set:50$\times$ 2, Testing Set: 500$\times$2

	Accuracy	F1-Score	Sensitivity	Specificity
Days in Advance: 30				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.640 ± 0.048	0.582 ± 0.115	0.537 ± 0.181	0.743 ± 0.102
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.637 ± 0.049	0.576 ± 0.110	0.524 ± 0.169	0.751 ± 0.089
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.643 ± 0.023	0.669 ± 0.042	0.732 ± 0.094	0.553 ± 0.077
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.658 ± 0.020	0.704 ± 0.021	0.817 ± 0.069	0.499 ± 0.084
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.655 ± 0.026	0.710 ± 0.014	0.848 ± 0.068	0.462 ± 0.108
LDA	0.543 ± 0.016	0.533 ± 0.033	0.525 ± 0.062	0.562 ± 0.061
Logistic Regression	0.606 ± 0.064	0.468 ± 0.217	0.424 ± 0.258	0.787 ± 0.139
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.642 ± 0.024	0.669 ± 0.031	0.726 ± 0.062	0.558 ± 0.048
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.664 ± 0.017	0.706 ± 0.019	0.811 ± 0.063	0.516 ± 0.076
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.659 ± 0.020	0.711 ± 0.013	0.840 ± 0.060	0.478 ± 0.089
SVM	0.612 ± 0.020	0.610 ± 0.032	0.611 ± 0.064	0.614 ± 0.059
DIAG	0.561 ± 0.024	0.500 ± 0.065	0.449 ± 0.101	0.673 ± 0.071
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.559 ± 0.030	0.470 ± 0.166	0.435 ± 0.169	0.682 ± 0.124
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.563 ± 0.024	0.523 ± 0.051	0.486 ± 0.085	0.640 ± 0.068
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.557 ± 0.027	0.468 ± 0.164	0.433 ± 0.166	0.682 ± 0.122
Days in Advance: 60				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.644 ± 0.042	0.565 ± 0.107	0.486 ± 0.140	0.802 ± 0.063
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.640 ± 0.045	0.545 ± 0.119	0.458 ± 0.149	0.821 ± 0.065
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.680 ± 0.020	0.714 ± 0.024	0.801 ± 0.054	0.560 ± 0.044
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.667 ± 0.016	0.715 ± 0.016	0.835 ± 0.037	0.499 ± 0.037
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.661 ± 0.015	0.714 ± 0.013	0.848 ± 0.036	0.473 ± 0.046
LDA	0.553 ± 0.023	0.542 ± 0.035	0.531 ± 0.056	0.575 ± 0.056
Logistic Regression	0.612 ± 0.067	0.443 ± 0.239	0.374 ± 0.227	0.851 ± 0.095
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.669 ± 0.019	0.693 ± 0.027	0.753 ± 0.062	0.584 ± 0.050
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.676 ± 0.017	0.721 ± 0.017	0.838 ± 0.035	0.515 ± 0.033
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.665 ± 0.015	0.716 ± 0.013	0.846 ± 0.034	0.483 ± 0.042
SVM	0.633 ± 0.030	0.628 ± 0.036	0.621 ± 0.057	0.646 ± 0.053
DIAG	0.568 ± 0.016	0.504 ± 0.047	0.443 ± 0.063	0.693 ± 0.035
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.563 ± 0.034	0.419 ± 0.214	0.375 ± 0.199	0.750 ± 0.132
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.574 ± 0.016	0.520 ± 0.045	0.467 ± 0.071	0.680 ± 0.055
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.567 ± 0.027	0.470 ± 0.162	0.423 ± 0.153	0.711 ± 0.107
Days in Advance: 90				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.627 ± 0.045	0.562 ± 0.091	0.498 ± 0.135	0.756 ± 0.073
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.627 ± 0.036	0.547 ± 0.091	0.471 ± 0.137	0.783 ± 0.072
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.651 ± 0.026	0.694 ± 0.026	0.793 ± 0.057	0.510 ± 0.060
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.656 ± 0.017	0.713 ± 0.008	0.855 ± 0.027	0.456 ± 0.055
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.640 ± 0.029	0.710 ± 0.010	0.878 ± 0.034	0.402 ± 0.088
LDA	0.560 ± 0.020	0.554 ± 0.032	0.548 ± 0.054	0.571 ± 0.046
Logistic Regression	0.621 ± 0.037	0.523 ± 0.100	0.440 ± 0.148	0.801 ± 0.081
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.655 ± 0.021	0.685 ± 0.022	0.751 ± 0.051	0.560 ± 0.061
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.664 ± 0.019	0.716 ± 0.014	0.846 ± 0.031	0.481 ± 0.049
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.650 ± 0.022	0.714 ± 0.009	0.873 ± 0.030	0.428 ± 0.070
SVM	0.616 ± 0.017	0.621 ± 0.029	0.633 ± 0.065	0.599 ± 0.064
DIAG	0.573 ± 0.023	0.528 ± 0.050	0.484 ± 0.076	0.662 ± 0.060
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.569 ± 0.028	0.495 ± 0.169	0.469 ± 0.169	0.670 ± 0.122
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.566 ± 0.025	0.488 ± 0.166	0.459 ± 0.164	0.672 ± 0.118
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.570 ± 0.016	0.540 ± 0.039	0.509 ± 0.063	0.630 ± 0.045

Table 4: Performance Comparison with Training Set:100$\times$ 2, Testing Set: 500$\times$2

	Accuracy	F1-Score	Sensitivity	Specificity
Days in Advance: 30				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.626 ± 0.032	0.518 ± 0.092	0.422 ± 0.137	0.831 ± 0.080
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.636 ± 0.024	0.545 ± 0.073	0.452 ± 0.116	0.819 ± 0.072
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.684 ± 0.012	0.717 ± 0.013	0.802 ± 0.031	0.566 ± 0.028
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.677 ± 0.012	0.723 ± 0.010	0.843 ± 0.021	0.510 ± 0.026
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.665 ± 0.019	0.719 ± 0.009	0.857 ± 0.035	0.474 ± 0.067
LDA	0.604 ± 0.011	0.610 ± 0.011	0.620 ± 0.022	0.589 ± 0.030
Logistic Regression	0.614 ± 0.043	0.472 ± 0.141	0.376 ± 0.164	0.851 ± 0.081
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.676 ± 0.013	0.699 ± 0.021	0.756 ± 0.051	0.595 ± 0.038
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.682 ± 0.010	0.725 ± 0.010	0.837 ± 0.019	0.527 ± 0.019
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.668 ± 0.014	0.720 ± 0.008	0.853 ± 0.030	0.484 ± 0.052
SVM	0.648 ± 0.021	0.655 ± 0.019	0.667 ± 0.026	0.630 ± 0.040
DIAG	0.613 ± 0.018	0.588 ± 0.030	0.554 ± 0.050	0.672 ± 0.040
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.604 ± 0.037	0.538 ± 0.181	0.516 ± 0.176	0.691 ± 0.109
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.599 ± 0.036	0.534 ± 0.179	0.512 ± 0.173	0.686 ± 0.110
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.599 ± 0.036	0.537 ± 0.180	0.520 ± 0.176	0.679 ± 0.113
Days in Advance: 60				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.630 ± 0.021	0.526 ± 0.064	0.420 ± 0.097	0.839 ± 0.058
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.626 ± 0.026	0.505 ± 0.074	0.393 ± 0.107	0.860 ± 0.060
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.676 ± 0.008	0.710 ± 0.011	0.794 ± 0.039	0.558 ± 0.043
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.669 ± 0.018	0.720 ± 0.013	0.851 ± 0.021	0.488 ± 0.036
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.661 ± 0.023	0.718 ± 0.011	0.862 ± 0.025	0.460 ± 0.064
LDA	0.591 ± 0.026	0.589 ± 0.031	0.588 ± 0.049	0.595 ± 0.045
Logistic Regression	0.585 ± 0.057	0.350 ± 0.231	0.267 ± 0.181	0.902 ± 0.071
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.670 ± 0.017	0.690 ± 0.027	0.738 ± 0.058	0.601 ± 0.038
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.679 ± 0.011	0.725 ± 0.009	0.845 ± 0.019	0.514 ± 0.028
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.664 ± 0.021	0.719 ± 0.012	0.859 ± 0.022	0.469 ± 0.054
SVM	0.635 ± 0.019	0.643 ± 0.030	0.660 ± 0.054	0.610 ± 0.032
DIAG	0.592 ± 0.018	0.561 ± 0.045	0.527 ± 0.076	0.658 ± 0.056
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.576 ± 0.043	0.458 ± 0.233	0.438 ± 0.230	0.714 ± 0.152
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.587 ± 0.034	0.518 ± 0.176	0.497 ± 0.176	0.676 ± 0.120
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.582 ± 0.034	0.513 ± 0.174	0.492 ± 0.172	0.672 ± 0.118
Days in Advance: 90				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.634 ± 0.026	0.541 ± 0.077	0.445 ± 0.111	0.822 ± 0.071
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.628 ± 0.031	0.529 ± 0.073	0.428 ± 0.097	0.828 ± 0.052
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.678 ± 0.018	0.715 ± 0.019	0.809 ± 0.049	0.546 ± 0.053
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.664 ± 0.020	0.714 ± 0.012	0.839 ± 0.042	0.490 ± 0.071
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.646 ± 0.036	0.707 ± 0.015	0.853 ± 0.058	0.439 ± 0.121
LDA	0.604 ± 0.020	0.610 ± 0.024	0.621 ± 0.039	0.587 ± 0.032
Logistic Regression	0.607 ± 0.046	0.439 ± 0.166	0.339 ± 0.154	0.874 ± 0.071
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.674 ± 0.020	0.702 ± 0.026	0.771 ± 0.059	0.578 ± 0.051
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.675 ± 0.016	0.720 ± 0.013	0.835 ± 0.040	0.515 ± 0.053
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.656 ± 0.025	0.711 ± 0.011	0.848 ± 0.052	0.464 ± 0.092
SVM	0.638 ± 0.017	0.638 ± 0.022	0.641 ± 0.039	0.635 ± 0.036
DIAG	0.602 ± 0.018	0.578 ± 0.042	0.550 ± 0.069	0.654 ± 0.038
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.599 ± 0.035	0.539 ± 0.182	0.527 ± 0.182	0.671 ± 0.115
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.608 ± 0.020	0.601 ± 0.029	0.593 ± 0.045	0.624 ± 0.032
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.605 ± 0.025	0.600 ± 0.029	0.593 ± 0.043	0.618 ± 0.041

Table 5: Performance Comparison with Training Set:150$\times$ 2, Testing Set: 500$\times$2

	Accuracy	F1-Score	Sensitivity	Specificity
Days in Advance: 30				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.629 ± 0.034	0.529 ± 0.098	0.440 ± 0.147	0.818 ± 0.091
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.636 ± 0.025	0.549 ± 0.079	0.461 ± 0.125	0.810 ± 0.084
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.687 ± 0.016	0.721 ± 0.015	0.810 ± 0.026	0.565 ± 0.026
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.673 ± 0.016	0.719 ± 0.011	0.836 ± 0.022	0.510 ± 0.039
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.666 ± 0.017	0.717 ± 0.010	0.844 ± 0.026	0.488 ± 0.051
LDA	0.617 ± 0.021	0.615 ± 0.024	0.612 ± 0.037	0.621 ± 0.035
Logistic Regression	0.619 ± 0.050	0.476 ± 0.185	0.396 ± 0.197	0.841 ± 0.109
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.679 ± 0.020	0.701 ± 0.024	0.754 ± 0.043	0.603 ± 0.029
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.686 ± 0.010	0.727 ± 0.009	0.837 ± 0.018	0.534 ± 0.021
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.669 ± 0.015	0.718 ± 0.010	0.841 ± 0.026	0.498 ± 0.044
SVM	0.649 ± 0.017	0.650 ± 0.022	0.652 ± 0.040	0.646 ± 0.037
DIAG	0.611 ± 0.016	0.587 ± 0.020	0.554 ± 0.026	0.668 ± 0.025
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.615 ± 0.018	0.602 ± 0.024	0.583 ± 0.037	0.647 ± 0.030
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.607 ± 0.039	0.543 ± 0.182	0.523 ± 0.178	0.690 ± 0.107
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.597 ± 0.052	0.489 ± 0.246	0.478 ± 0.243	0.716 ± 0.146
Days in Advance: 60				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.626 ± 0.031	0.510 ± 0.091	0.406 ± 0.122	0.846 ± 0.066
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.615 ± 0.031	0.470 ± 0.100	0.356 ± 0.116	0.874 ± 0.061
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.680 ± 0.024	0.722 ± 0.020	0.831 ± 0.028	0.529 ± 0.032
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.663 ± 0.019	0.718 ± 0.014	0.856 ± 0.031	0.471 ± 0.046
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.656 ± 0.025	0.717 ± 0.014	0.871 ± 0.037	0.442 ± 0.073
LDA	0.621 ± 0.018	0.625 ± 0.015	0.632 ± 0.022	0.610 ± 0.038
Logistic Regression	0.590 ± 0.055	0.373 ± 0.212	0.286 ± 0.185	0.895 ± 0.079
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.680 ± 0.020	0.712 ± 0.019	0.793 ± 0.036	0.566 ± 0.037
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.678 ± 0.020	0.725 ± 0.016	0.850 ± 0.027	0.505 ± 0.036
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.662 ± 0.019	0.719 ± 0.013	0.865 ± 0.033	0.459 ± 0.055
SVM	0.646 ± 0.010	0.654 ± 0.018	0.671 ± 0.039	0.622 ± 0.032
DIAG	0.614 ± 0.017	0.610 ± 0.021	0.604 ± 0.041	0.625 ± 0.049
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.622 ± 0.014	0.624 ± 0.014	0.628 ± 0.030	0.616 ± 0.042
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.601 ± 0.052	0.501 ± 0.251	0.503 ± 0.252	0.698 ± 0.153
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.626 ± 0.013	0.628 ± 0.014	0.632 ± 0.028	0.620 ± 0.036
Days in Advance: 90				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.624 ± 0.036	0.519 ± 0.119	0.433 ± 0.150	0.815 ± 0.085
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.625 ± 0.031	0.514 ± 0.117	0.425 ± 0.152	0.824 ± 0.093
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.670 ± 0.023	0.713 ± 0.016	0.821 ± 0.031	0.519 ± 0.056
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.659 ± 0.024	0.714 ± 0.015	0.854 ± 0.032	0.464 ± 0.061
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.651 ± 0.020	0.713 ± 0.012	0.867 ± 0.030	0.436 ± 0.056
LDA	0.607 ± 0.025	0.609 ± 0.025	0.614 ± 0.043	0.600 ± 0.059
Logistic Regression	0.603 ± 0.048	0.425 ± 0.187	0.336 ± 0.183	0.870 ± 0.092
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.673 ± 0.014	0.705 ± 0.014	0.781 ± 0.038	0.565 ± 0.047
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.669 ± 0.020	0.719 ± 0.014	0.848 ± 0.031	0.490 ± 0.050
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.652 ± 0.019	0.713 ± 0.012	0.863 ± 0.031	0.441 ± 0.056
SVM	0.637 ± 0.016	0.647 ± 0.021	0.667 ± 0.045	0.607 ± 0.047
DIAG	0.600 ± 0.014	0.596 ± 0.028	0.595 ± 0.067	0.605 ± 0.071
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.584 ± 0.044	0.486 ± 0.244	0.491 ± 0.251	0.676 ± 0.170
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.608 ± 0.014	0.611 ± 0.020	0.616 ± 0.046	0.599 ± 0.050
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.599 ± 0.037	0.549 ± 0.184	0.549 ± 0.188	0.650 ± 0.127

Table 6: Performance Comparison with Training Set:200$\times$ 2, Testing Set: 500$\times$2

	Accuracy	F1-Score	Sensitivity	Specificity
Days in Advance: 30				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.632 ± 0.035	0.537 ± 0.113	0.455 ± 0.156	0.810 ± 0.093
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.631 ± 0.032	0.529 ± 0.103	0.438 ± 0.145	0.824 ± 0.090
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.678 ± 0.010	0.717 ± 0.012	0.816 ± 0.026	0.541 ± 0.021
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.673 ± 0.013	0.724 ± 0.011	0.856 ± 0.030	0.491 ± 0.040
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.660 ± 0.017	0.718 ± 0.009	0.866 ± 0.036	0.453 ± 0.062
LDA	0.625 ± 0.016	0.624 ± 0.016	0.624 ± 0.022	0.625 ± 0.029
Logistic Regression	0.607 ± 0.057	0.435 ± 0.210	0.360 ± 0.217	0.854 ± 0.112
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.679 ± 0.018	0.705 ± 0.023	0.771 ± 0.043	0.586 ± 0.020
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.681 ± 0.011	0.727 ± 0.010	0.849 ± 0.019	0.513 ± 0.025
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.665 ± 0.014	0.720 ± 0.009	0.862 ± 0.036	0.467 ± 0.056
SVM	0.656 ± 0.020	0.662 ± 0.028	0.677 ± 0.045	0.635 ± 0.026
DIAG	0.626 ± 0.024	0.618 ± 0.033	0.607 ± 0.050	0.645 ± 0.033
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.631 ± 0.022	0.629 ± 0.031	0.627 ± 0.050	0.635 ± 0.028
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.629 ± 0.017	0.626 ± 0.024	0.623 ± 0.040	0.635 ± 0.029
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.613 ± 0.043	0.560 ± 0.188	0.554 ± 0.187	0.673 ± 0.114
Days in Advance: 60				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.631 ± 0.034	0.530 ± 0.107	0.443 ± 0.155	0.819 ± 0.091
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.619 ± 0.037	0.486 ± 0.114	0.385 ± 0.150	0.852 ± 0.080
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.690 ± 0.017	0.728 ± 0.010	0.830 ± 0.034	0.550 ± 0.058
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.677 ± 0.020	0.723 ± 0.014	0.845 ± 0.023	0.508 ± 0.046
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.665 ± 0.022	0.721 ± 0.014	0.862 ± 0.021	0.469 ± 0.053
LDA	0.633 ± 0.016	0.634 ± 0.021	0.638 ± 0.034	0.627 ± 0.023
Logistic Regression	0.592 ± 0.059	0.380 ± 0.220	0.303 ± 0.212	0.881 ± 0.098
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.690 ± 0.014	0.719 ± 0.010	0.792 ± 0.033	0.588 ± 0.051
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.688 ± 0.019	0.732 ± 0.013	0.849 ± 0.021	0.527 ± 0.045
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.672 ± 0.021	0.723 ± 0.014	0.856 ± 0.019	0.489 ± 0.049
SVM	0.664 ± 0.017	0.675 ± 0.021	0.699 ± 0.040	0.629 ± 0.034
DIAG	0.631 ± 0.015	0.624 ± 0.023	0.614 ± 0.045	0.647 ± 0.041
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.619 ± 0.042	0.563 ± 0.188	0.555 ± 0.187	0.683 ± 0.110
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.634 ± 0.016	0.630 ± 0.020	0.626 ± 0.036	0.642 ± 0.037
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.631 ± 0.015	0.628 ± 0.018	0.623 ± 0.029	0.639 ± 0.027
Days in Advance: 90				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.624 ± 0.032	0.510 ± 0.086	0.408 ± 0.124	0.840 ± 0.063
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.627 ± 0.031	0.514 ± 0.090	0.412 ± 0.130	0.841 ± 0.069
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.682 ± 0.014	0.719 ± 0.016	0.815 ± 0.032	0.548 ± 0.021
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.673 ± 0.015	0.722 ± 0.009	0.852 ± 0.020	0.494 ± 0.037
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.671 ± 0.015	0.722 ± 0.009	0.855 ± 0.024	0.487 ± 0.045
LDA	0.632 ± 0.016	0.629 ± 0.019	0.626 ± 0.030	0.638 ± 0.027
Logistic Regression	0.610 ± 0.045	0.456 ± 0.145	0.358 ± 0.163	0.861 ± 0.073
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.687 ± 0.016	0.716 ± 0.018	0.789 ± 0.034	0.586 ± 0.024
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.680 ± 0.010	0.726 ± 0.011	0.848 ± 0.024	0.512 ± 0.021
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.673 ± 0.015	0.724 ± 0.009	0.854 ± 0.021	0.492 ± 0.042
SVM	0.657 ± 0.016	0.666 ± 0.021	0.686 ± 0.040	0.627 ± 0.032
DIAG	0.627 ± 0.025	0.604 ± 0.049	0.577 ± 0.085	0.677 ± 0.045
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.632 ± 0.025	0.616 ± 0.046	0.596 ± 0.077	0.668 ± 0.035
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.627 ± 0.021	0.612 ± 0.040	0.594 ± 0.067	0.659 ± 0.035
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.625 ± 0.021	0.612 ± 0.035	0.594 ± 0.059	0.656 ± 0.037

Table 7: Performance Comparison with Training Set:250$\times$ 2, Testing Set: 500$\times$2

	Accuracy	F1-Score	Sensitivity	Specificity
Days in Advance: 30				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.611 ± 0.017	0.462 ± 0.050	0.338 ± 0.060	0.885 ± 0.031
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.615 ± 0.017	0.474 ± 0.046	0.350 ± 0.056	0.880 ± 0.030
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.687 ± 0.013	0.727 ± 0.013	0.831 ± 0.023	0.544 ± 0.023
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.672 ± 0.016	0.721 ± 0.013	0.848 ± 0.025	0.497 ± 0.031
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.668 ± 0.014	0.721 ± 0.012	0.858 ± 0.030	0.478 ± 0.041
LDA	0.635 ± 0.021	0.636 ± 0.020	0.638 ± 0.023	0.632 ± 0.032
Logistic Regression	0.601 ± 0.038	0.418 ± 0.148	0.307 ± 0.118	0.896 ± 0.047
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.686 ± 0.014	0.714 ± 0.014	0.784 ± 0.025	0.588 ± 0.026
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.683 ± 0.019	0.728 ± 0.016	0.849 ± 0.022	0.518 ± 0.028
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.670 ± 0.014	0.721 ± 0.011	0.854 ± 0.028	0.486 ± 0.037
SVM	0.657 ± 0.019	0.666 ± 0.017	0.682 ± 0.025	0.633 ± 0.036
DIAG	0.628 ± 0.028	0.625 ± 0.041	0.624 ± 0.062	0.632 ± 0.030
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.635 ± 0.032	0.635 ± 0.041	0.638 ± 0.057	0.631 ± 0.028
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.634 ± 0.031	0.634 ± 0.039	0.635 ± 0.052	0.634 ± 0.025
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.635 ± 0.027	0.634 ± 0.033	0.633 ± 0.043	0.636 ± 0.029
Days in Advance: 60				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.625 ± 0.026	0.503 ± 0.084	0.395 ± 0.125	0.855 ± 0.076
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.625 ± 0.028	0.503 ± 0.088	0.397 ± 0.133	0.853 ± 0.082
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.687 ± 0.019	0.725 ± 0.013	0.824 ± 0.023	0.551 ± 0.045
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.675 ± 0.020	0.722 ± 0.011	0.845 ± 0.022	0.504 ± 0.054
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.670 ± 0.022	0.720 ± 0.011	0.846 ± 0.025	0.494 ± 0.061
LDA	0.636 ± 0.020	0.636 ± 0.025	0.637 ± 0.040	0.634 ± 0.029
Logistic Regression	0.621 ± 0.029	0.491 ± 0.090	0.383 ± 0.132	0.860 ± 0.078
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.697 ± 0.013	0.722 ± 0.016	0.788 ± 0.041	0.606 ± 0.043
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.683 ± 0.017	0.727 ± 0.011	0.845 ± 0.024	0.522 ± 0.047
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.670 ± 0.021	0.720 ± 0.010	0.845 ± 0.025	0.496 ± 0.060
SVM	0.661 ± 0.022	0.669 ± 0.031	0.688 ± 0.057	0.634 ± 0.034
DIAG	0.637 ± 0.021	0.624 ± 0.026	0.605 ± 0.041	0.669 ± 0.039
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.639 ± 0.018	0.634 ± 0.024	0.625 ± 0.037	0.654 ± 0.024
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.619 ± 0.044	0.564 ± 0.190	0.556 ± 0.189	0.681 ± 0.109
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.630 ± 0.016	0.623 ± 0.023	0.613 ± 0.037	0.647 ± 0.023
Days in Advance: 90				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.622 ± 0.018	0.492 ± 0.062	0.376 ± 0.089	0.868 ± 0.058
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.618 ± 0.017	0.482 ± 0.061	0.364 ± 0.089	0.873 ± 0.060
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.690 ± 0.014	0.727 ± 0.012	0.828 ± 0.030	0.551 ± 0.038
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.678 ± 0.012	0.725 ± 0.011	0.847 ± 0.023	0.510 ± 0.023
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.666 ± 0.021	0.720 ± 0.013	0.861 ± 0.024	0.470 ± 0.052
LDA	0.638 ± 0.008	0.639 ± 0.016	0.641 ± 0.041	0.635 ± 0.040
Logistic Regression	0.605 ± 0.039	0.430 ± 0.155	0.324 ± 0.136	0.885 ± 0.065
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.681 ± 0.011	0.710 ± 0.013	0.781 ± 0.037	0.582 ± 0.038
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.685 ± 0.012	0.729 ± 0.012	0.847 ± 0.026	0.523 ± 0.021
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.669 ± 0.019	0.721 ± 0.012	0.857 ± 0.025	0.481 ± 0.048
SVM	0.658 ± 0.013	0.666 ± 0.015	0.681 ± 0.036	0.636 ± 0.042
DIAG	0.629 ± 0.017	0.622 ± 0.025	0.612 ± 0.055	0.647 ± 0.062
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.634 ± 0.017	0.633 ± 0.026	0.634 ± 0.053	0.634 ± 0.051
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.634 ± 0.016	0.631 ± 0.024	0.629 ± 0.047	0.639 ± 0.045
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.635 ± 0.012	0.632 ± 0.021	0.630 ± 0.043	0.640 ± 0.040

Table 8: Performance Comparison with Training Set:50$\times$ 2, Testing Set: 1000$\times$2

	Accuracy	F1-Score	Sensitivity	Specificity
Days in Advance: 30				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.634 ± 0.024	0.559 ± 0.082	0.483 ± 0.132	0.784 ± 0.091
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.629 ± 0.036	0.537 ± 0.122	0.461 ± 0.161	0.798 ± 0.096
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.658 ± 0.018	0.694 ± 0.028	0.779 ± 0.063	0.537 ± 0.041
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.656 ± 0.011	0.709 ± 0.010	0.837 ± 0.035	0.476 ± 0.043
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.644 ± 0.025	0.707 ± 0.007	0.858 ± 0.049	0.429 ± 0.097
LDA	0.544 ± 0.026	0.542 ± 0.029	0.541 ± 0.037	0.548 ± 0.036
Logistic Regression	0.613 ± 0.043	0.485 ± 0.142	0.400 ± 0.176	0.826 ± 0.096
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.654 ± 0.025	0.677 ± 0.045	0.734 ± 0.091	0.575 ± 0.052
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.666 ± 0.009	0.712 ± 0.013	0.829 ± 0.041	0.502 ± 0.039
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.653 ± 0.013	0.711 ± 0.008	0.854 ± 0.043	0.452 ± 0.064
SVM	0.618 ± 0.028	0.624 ± 0.040	0.638 ± 0.060	0.599 ± 0.020
DIAG	0.563 ± 0.018	0.525 ± 0.032	0.485 ± 0.049	0.640 ± 0.041
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.553 ± 0.024	0.481 ± 0.162	0.456 ± 0.155	0.650 ± 0.122
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.564 ± 0.024	0.543 ± 0.031	0.520 ± 0.042	0.609 ± 0.035
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.561 ± 0.024	0.542 ± 0.029	0.520 ± 0.040	0.601 ± 0.039
Days in Advance: 60				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.638 ± 0.026	0.571 ± 0.077	0.500 ± 0.128	0.776 ± 0.082
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.634 ± 0.023	0.561 ± 0.070	0.481 ± 0.111	0.787 ± 0.075
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.660 ± 0.020	0.681 ± 0.039	0.733 ± 0.091	0.587 ± 0.067
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.672 ± 0.008	0.711 ± 0.011	0.811 ± 0.051	0.533 ± 0.060
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.664 ± 0.024	0.712 ± 0.010	0.830 ± 0.059	0.498 ± 0.100
LDA	0.551 ± 0.018	0.546 ± 0.031	0.542 ± 0.048	0.560 ± 0.035
Logistic Regression	0.607 ± 0.054	0.455 ± 0.219	0.389 ± 0.212	0.826 ± 0.110
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.653 ± 0.027	0.667 ± 0.043	0.704 ± 0.087	0.602 ± 0.061
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.677 ± 0.010	0.713 ± 0.017	0.805 ± 0.054	0.549 ± 0.051
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.669 ± 0.015	0.714 ± 0.010	0.827 ± 0.055	0.510 ± 0.079
SVM	0.627 ± 0.026	0.626 ± 0.040	0.630 ± 0.070	0.625 ± 0.049
DIAG	0.576 ± 0.017	0.515 ± 0.037	0.453 ± 0.058	0.699 ± 0.061
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.572 ± 0.030	0.484 ± 0.165	0.443 ± 0.158	0.701 ± 0.113
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.579 ± 0.019	0.538 ± 0.038	0.493 ± 0.057	0.665 ± 0.050
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.577 ± 0.017	0.536 ± 0.036	0.491 ± 0.053	0.663 ± 0.040
Days in Advance: 90				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.635 ± 0.024	0.565 ± 0.074	0.490 ± 0.116	0.781 ± 0.083
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.624 ± 0.029	0.521 ± 0.090	0.427 ± 0.121	0.820 ± 0.070
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.653 ± 0.018	0.673 ± 0.032	0.720 ± 0.072	0.587 ± 0.063
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.663 ± 0.015	0.702 ± 0.011	0.796 ± 0.039	0.529 ± 0.059
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.663 ± 0.016	0.707 ± 0.010	0.814 ± 0.042	0.512 ± 0.064
LDA	0.548 ± 0.022	0.536 ± 0.037	0.525 ± 0.059	0.570 ± 0.060
Logistic Regression	0.601 ± 0.053	0.436 ± 0.206	0.358 ± 0.195	0.844 ± 0.096
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.650 ± 0.012	0.659 ± 0.023	0.680 ± 0.057	0.621 ± 0.052
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.665 ± 0.012	0.700 ± 0.015	0.785 ± 0.051	0.545 ± 0.059
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.665 ± 0.015	0.708 ± 0.010	0.813 ± 0.039	0.516 ± 0.060
SVM	0.627 ± 0.012	0.619 ± 0.024	0.608 ± 0.047	0.646 ± 0.039
DIAG	0.564 ± 0.023	0.498 ± 0.029	0.433 ± 0.032	0.694 ± 0.033
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.559 ± 0.029	0.462 ± 0.155	0.412 ± 0.140	0.706 ± 0.106
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.558 ± 0.026	0.459 ± 0.154	0.409 ± 0.139	0.706 ± 0.107
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.556 ± 0.034	0.412 ± 0.207	0.366 ± 0.186	0.746 ± 0.134

Table 9: Performance Comparison with Training Set:100$\times$ 2, Testing Set: 1000$\times$2

	Accuracy	F1-Score	Sensitivity	Specificity
Days in Advance: 30				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.611 ± 0.033	0.475 ± 0.106	0.366 ± 0.108	0.855 ± 0.047
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.612 ± 0.030	0.474 ± 0.107	0.363 ± 0.095	0.861 ± 0.035
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.671 ± 0.014	0.694 ± 0.028	0.754 ± 0.072	0.588 ± 0.064
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.675 ± 0.010	0.719 ± 0.008	0.832 ± 0.021	0.518 ± 0.030
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.655 ± 0.020	0.714 ± 0.006	0.861 ± 0.040	0.449 ± 0.077
LDA	0.599 ± 0.011	0.588 ± 0.018	0.573 ± 0.034	0.624 ± 0.036
Logistic Regression	0.598 ± 0.042	0.423 ± 0.165	0.321 ± 0.135	0.875 ± 0.052
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.669 ± 0.015	0.686 ± 0.022	0.725 ± 0.049	0.614 ± 0.043
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.681 ± 0.012	0.721 ± 0.009	0.826 ± 0.016	0.535 ± 0.027
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.664 ± 0.011	0.718 ± 0.006	0.853 ± 0.031	0.476 ± 0.048
SVM	0.631 ± 0.014	0.625 ± 0.024	0.617 ± 0.043	0.644 ± 0.035
DIAG	0.600 ± 0.012	0.573 ± 0.037	0.542 ± 0.066	0.658 ± 0.053
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.591 ± 0.032	0.524 ± 0.177	0.502 ± 0.175	0.681 ± 0.113
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.599 ± 0.011	0.579 ± 0.029	0.554 ± 0.050	0.645 ± 0.033
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.599 ± 0.012	0.578 ± 0.031	0.551 ± 0.050	0.646 ± 0.030
Days in Advance: 60				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.629 ± 0.024	0.543 ± 0.078	0.456 ± 0.122	0.802 ± 0.081
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.626 ± 0.032	0.522 ± 0.110	0.431 ± 0.130	0.822 ± 0.074
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.670 ± 0.017	0.703 ± 0.027	0.784 ± 0.068	0.556 ± 0.053
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.669 ± 0.013	0.718 ± 0.009	0.844 ± 0.027	0.493 ± 0.042
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.657 ± 0.021	0.715 ± 0.008	0.862 ± 0.036	0.451 ± 0.074
LDA	0.595 ± 0.019	0.596 ± 0.016	0.598 ± 0.018	0.591 ± 0.032
Logistic Regression	0.608 ± 0.045	0.465 ± 0.172	0.377 ± 0.162	0.839 ± 0.081
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.670 ± 0.019	0.691 ± 0.030	0.744 ± 0.070	0.595 ± 0.051
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.676 ± 0.011	0.719 ± 0.011	0.831 ± 0.033	0.520 ± 0.037
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.662 ± 0.016	0.717 ± 0.007	0.857 ± 0.033	0.468 ± 0.059
SVM	0.637 ± 0.021	0.635 ± 0.031	0.633 ± 0.050	0.640 ± 0.036
DIAG	0.597 ± 0.031	0.573 ± 0.037	0.542 ± 0.051	0.652 ± 0.055
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.599 ± 0.028	0.583 ± 0.033	0.563 ± 0.046	0.635 ± 0.045
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.597 ± 0.028	0.581 ± 0.031	0.559 ± 0.038	0.636 ± 0.039
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.596 ± 0.027	0.582 ± 0.027	0.561 ± 0.032	0.631 ± 0.041
Days in Advance: 90				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.641 ± 0.032	0.554 ± 0.103	0.475 ± 0.163	0.807 ± 0.099
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.644 ± 0.028	0.560 ± 0.094	0.480 ± 0.153	0.807 ± 0.098
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.680 ± 0.013	0.714 ± 0.018	0.800 ± 0.049	0.559 ± 0.046
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.670 ± 0.015	0.719 ± 0.009	0.843 ± 0.034	0.496 ± 0.054
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.663 ± 0.018	0.717 ± 0.007	0.854 ± 0.038	0.472 ± 0.071
LDA	0.599 ± 0.014	0.594 ± 0.030	0.590 ± 0.056	0.607 ± 0.037
Logistic Regression	0.607 ± 0.069	0.422 ± 0.258	0.371 ± 0.264	0.843 ± 0.130
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.681 ± 0.013	0.703 ± 0.018	0.759 ± 0.045	0.603 ± 0.041
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.681 ± 0.010	0.725 ± 0.008	0.840 ± 0.034	0.521 ± 0.046
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.665 ± 0.018	0.718 ± 0.007	0.852 ± 0.036	0.479 ± 0.067
SVM	0.642 ± 0.018	0.646 ± 0.027	0.657 ± 0.053	0.627 ± 0.042
DIAG	0.606 ± 0.019	0.576 ± 0.043	0.541 ± 0.069	0.671 ± 0.036
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.595 ± 0.036	0.522 ± 0.178	0.495 ± 0.176	0.694 ± 0.108
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.591 ± 0.035	0.517 ± 0.176	0.488 ± 0.173	0.695 ± 0.107
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.589 ± 0.036	0.519 ± 0.177	0.495 ± 0.176	0.684 ± 0.113

Table 10: Performance Comparison with Training Set:150$\times$ 2, Testing Set: 1000$\times$2

	Accuracy	F1-Score	Sensitivity	Specificity
Days in Advance: 30				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.631 ± 0.022	0.529 ± 0.071	0.428 ± 0.107	0.834 ± 0.065
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.633 ± 0.020	0.536 ± 0.064	0.435 ± 0.099	0.830 ± 0.060
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.680 ± 0.011	0.717 ± 0.008	0.811 ± 0.010	0.550 ± 0.022
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.669 ± 0.007	0.717 ± 0.007	0.841 ± 0.016	0.497 ± 0.019
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.660 ± 0.010	0.715 ± 0.008	0.852 ± 0.027	0.467 ± 0.037
LDA	0.612 ± 0.014	0.612 ± 0.020	0.612 ± 0.032	0.612 ± 0.023
Logistic Regression	0.632 ± 0.021	0.532 ± 0.070	0.431 ± 0.106	0.833 ± 0.066
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.683 ± 0.012	0.708 ± 0.009	0.769 ± 0.024	0.597 ± 0.037
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.678 ± 0.006	0.723 ± 0.005	0.840 ± 0.013	0.517 ± 0.018
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.663 ± 0.010	0.715 ± 0.008	0.848 ± 0.024	0.478 ± 0.034
SVM	0.647 ± 0.017	0.653 ± 0.024	0.667 ± 0.042	0.626 ± 0.030
DIAG	0.613 ± 0.008	0.601 ± 0.022	0.586 ± 0.053	0.641 ± 0.056
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.617 ± 0.006	0.611 ± 0.016	0.602 ± 0.038	0.632 ± 0.038
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.597 ± 0.049	0.489 ± 0.245	0.479 ± 0.241	0.714 ± 0.146
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.614 ± 0.011	0.605 ± 0.018	0.593 ± 0.033	0.635 ± 0.028
Days in Advance: 60				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.623 ± 0.020	0.505 ± 0.069	0.397 ± 0.102	0.848 ± 0.064
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.620 ± 0.019	0.494 ± 0.064	0.380 ± 0.094	0.859 ± 0.058
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.679 ± 0.015	0.719 ± 0.011	0.821 ± 0.027	0.536 ± 0.041
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.671 ± 0.017	0.721 ± 0.010	0.851 ± 0.022	0.491 ± 0.048
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.659 ± 0.023	0.718 ± 0.010	0.869 ± 0.030	0.450 ± 0.071
LDA	0.615 ± 0.013	0.618 ± 0.018	0.624 ± 0.033	0.606 ± 0.032
Logistic Regression	0.618 ± 0.019	0.487 ± 0.065	0.373 ± 0.096	0.863 ± 0.059
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.680 ± 0.011	0.712 ± 0.011	0.793 ± 0.032	0.567 ± 0.037
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.678 ± 0.014	0.724 ± 0.010	0.844 ± 0.024	0.512 ± 0.041
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.664 ± 0.020	0.720 ± 0.009	0.862 ± 0.027	0.467 ± 0.060
SVM	0.646 ± 0.017	0.653 ± 0.023	0.667 ± 0.041	0.625 ± 0.030
DIAG	0.619 ± 0.012	0.617 ± 0.018	0.615 ± 0.043	0.622 ± 0.050
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.619 ± 0.018	0.620 ± 0.021	0.623 ± 0.036	0.615 ± 0.038
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.620 ± 0.017	0.620 ± 0.019	0.621 ± 0.029	0.619 ± 0.035
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.619 ± 0.017	0.616 ± 0.018	0.613 ± 0.027	0.624 ± 0.028
Days in Advance: 90				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.615 ± 0.033	0.488 ± 0.094	0.383 ± 0.123	0.847 ± 0.061
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.614 ± 0.027	0.482 ± 0.077	0.370 ± 0.106	0.859 ± 0.055
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.682 ± 0.012	0.718 ± 0.013	0.812 ± 0.030	0.551 ± 0.027
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.677 ± 0.017	0.722 ± 0.012	0.839 ± 0.014	0.514 ± 0.033
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.671 ± 0.018	0.722 ± 0.011	0.856 ± 0.025	0.485 ± 0.048
LDA	0.620 ± 0.012	0.621 ± 0.022	0.626 ± 0.044	0.614 ± 0.032
Logistic Regression	0.603 ± 0.039	0.440 ± 0.134	0.335 ± 0.140	0.872 ± 0.065
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.679 ± 0.011	0.704 ± 0.018	0.766 ± 0.045	0.592 ± 0.036
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.681 ± 0.011	0.724 ± 0.009	0.838 ± 0.019	0.524 ± 0.025
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.673 ± 0.017	0.722 ± 0.011	0.850 ± 0.019	0.496 ± 0.039
SVM	0.642 ± 0.011	0.646 ± 0.020	0.655 ± 0.040	0.628 ± 0.030
DIAG	0.619 ± 0.013	0.608 ± 0.020	0.591 ± 0.041	0.647 ± 0.045
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.610 ± 0.038	0.552 ± 0.185	0.541 ± 0.182	0.679 ± 0.111
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.606 ± 0.036	0.552 ± 0.185	0.547 ± 0.185	0.666 ± 0.116
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.620 ± 0.004	0.615 ± 0.011	0.608 ± 0.030	0.632 ± 0.033

Table 11: Performance Comparison with Training Set:200$\times$ 2, Testing Set: 1000$\times$2

	Accuracy	F1-Score	Sensitivity	Specificity
Days in Advance: 30				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.627 ± 0.030	0.522 ± 0.092	0.428 ± 0.136	0.827 ± 0.079
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.627 ± 0.030	0.523 ± 0.094	0.429 ± 0.138	0.825 ± 0.080
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.678 ± 0.006	0.719 ± 0.005	0.825 ± 0.014	0.531 ± 0.015
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.669 ± 0.007	0.717 ± 0.005	0.839 ± 0.019	0.500 ± 0.027
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.662 ± 0.013	0.714 ± 0.004	0.845 ± 0.028	0.479 ± 0.052
LDA	0.632 ± 0.014	0.634 ± 0.020	0.640 ± 0.034	0.624 ± 0.017
Logistic Regression	0.615 ± 0.049	0.471 ± 0.184	0.389 ± 0.191	0.842 ± 0.096
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.685 ± 0.008	0.716 ± 0.009	0.795 ± 0.022	0.574 ± 0.019
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.677 ± 0.006	0.723 ± 0.006	0.840 ± 0.016	0.515 ± 0.019
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.665 ± 0.011	0.715 ± 0.004	0.842 ± 0.025	0.488 ± 0.044
SVM	0.660 ± 0.010	0.669 ± 0.016	0.689 ± 0.035	0.631 ± 0.030
DIAG	0.633 ± 0.017	0.626 ± 0.034	0.618 ± 0.056	0.648 ± 0.028
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.622 ± 0.044	0.570 ± 0.193	0.568 ± 0.197	0.677 ± 0.111
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.626 ± 0.044	0.575 ± 0.193	0.574 ± 0.195	0.678 ± 0.109
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.635 ± 0.013	0.632 ± 0.022	0.628 ± 0.040	0.643 ± 0.022
Days in Advance: 60				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.620 ± 0.021	0.498 ± 0.073	0.390 ± 0.114	0.851 ± 0.075
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.621 ± 0.021	0.501 ± 0.073	0.394 ± 0.113	0.848 ± 0.074
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.677 ± 0.012	0.721 ± 0.008	0.833 ± 0.011	0.521 ± 0.026
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.668 ± 0.013	0.720 ± 0.010	0.851 ± 0.013	0.486 ± 0.023
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.657 ± 0.015	0.715 ± 0.009	0.862 ± 0.024	0.453 ± 0.041
LDA	0.632 ± 0.019	0.633 ± 0.016	0.636 ± 0.024	0.628 ± 0.039
Logistic Regression	0.605 ± 0.041	0.439 ± 0.165	0.343 ± 0.164	0.866 ± 0.088
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.680 ± 0.015	0.712 ± 0.012	0.792 ± 0.019	0.568 ± 0.029
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.677 ± 0.011	0.724 ± 0.009	0.850 ± 0.014	0.504 ± 0.020
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.661 ± 0.014	0.717 ± 0.010	0.857 ± 0.021	0.465 ± 0.036
SVM	0.658 ± 0.014	0.672 ± 0.013	0.702 ± 0.024	0.613 ± 0.034
DIAG	0.625 ± 0.016	0.615 ± 0.035	0.603 ± 0.072	0.646 ± 0.065
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.631 ± 0.018	0.626 ± 0.028	0.620 ± 0.057	0.643 ± 0.056
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.632 ± 0.018	0.629 ± 0.024	0.625 ± 0.047	0.639 ± 0.049
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.628 ± 0.015	0.623 ± 0.017	0.616 ± 0.035	0.640 ± 0.043
Days in Advance: 90				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.597 ± 0.032	0.414 ± 0.115	0.296 ± 0.095	0.898 ± 0.036
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.612 ± 0.007	0.465 ± 0.028	0.338 ± 0.033	0.886 ± 0.025
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.682 ± 0.014	0.723 ± 0.009	0.828 ± 0.024	0.536 ± 0.041
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.674 ± 0.015	0.721 ± 0.009	0.842 ± 0.022	0.507 ± 0.044
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.670 ± 0.018	0.720 ± 0.009	0.847 ± 0.030	0.493 ± 0.060
LDA	0.630 ± 0.013	0.637 ± 0.013	0.650 ± 0.022	0.610 ± 0.025
Logistic Regression	0.575 ± 0.049	0.318 ± 0.210	0.230 ± 0.154	0.919 ± 0.057
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.685 ± 0.011	0.716 ± 0.011	0.794 ± 0.031	0.576 ± 0.037
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.679 ± 0.012	0.724 ± 0.008	0.844 ± 0.020	0.514 ± 0.035
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.672 ± 0.017	0.721 ± 0.009	0.844 ± 0.026	0.501 ± 0.053
SVM	0.653 ± 0.010	0.669 ± 0.012	0.700 ± 0.030	0.606 ± 0.034
DIAG	0.616 ± 0.011	0.608 ± 0.015	0.596 ± 0.034	0.636 ± 0.038
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.624 ± 0.009	0.625 ± 0.013	0.627 ± 0.030	0.622 ± 0.035
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.612 ± 0.038	0.565 ± 0.189	0.570 ± 0.192	0.654 ± 0.120
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.614 ± 0.039	0.566 ± 0.189	0.570 ± 0.191	0.658 ± 0.118

Table 12: Performance Comparison with Training Set:250$\times$ 2, Testing Set: 1000$\times$2

	Accuracy	F1-Score	Sensitivity	Specificity
Days in Advance: 30				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.621 ± 0.038	0.494 ± 0.119	0.395 ± 0.146	0.848 ± 0.073
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.618 ± 0.036	0.483 ± 0.117	0.380 ± 0.143	0.856 ± 0.075
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.686 ± 0.013	0.723 ± 0.012	0.821 ± 0.024	0.552 ± 0.024
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.675 ± 0.012	0.724 ± 0.009	0.854 ± 0.022	0.496 ± 0.035
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.665 ± 0.015	0.721 ± 0.008	0.864 ± 0.029	0.465 ± 0.052
LDA	0.638 ± 0.012	0.640 ± 0.015	0.644 ± 0.026	0.632 ± 0.024
Logistic Regression	0.602 ± 0.057	0.411 ± 0.220	0.330 ± 0.201	0.873 ± 0.092
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.690 ± 0.011	0.716 ± 0.013	0.783 ± 0.029	0.597 ± 0.030
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.684 ± 0.010	0.729 ± 0.009	0.849 ± 0.019	0.519 ± 0.022
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.667 ± 0.014	0.721 ± 0.007	0.862 ± 0.028	0.472 ± 0.049
SVM	0.664 ± 0.012	0.677 ± 0.013	0.705 ± 0.029	0.623 ± 0.035
DIAG	0.639 ± 0.015	0.633 ± 0.027	0.627 ± 0.053	0.651 ± 0.042
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.645 ± 0.015	0.644 ± 0.021	0.643 ± 0.037	0.648 ± 0.032
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.610 ± 0.057	0.507 ± 0.254	0.501 ± 0.253	0.719 ± 0.142
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.637 ± 0.012	0.633 ± 0.018	0.628 ± 0.031	0.645 ± 0.022
Days in Advance: 60				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.619 ± 0.035	0.493 ± 0.124	0.399 ± 0.156	0.839 ± 0.091
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.622 ± 0.028	0.506 ± 0.095	0.409 ± 0.138	0.835 ± 0.086
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.682 ± 0.007	0.723 ± 0.009	0.831 ± 0.028	0.532 ± 0.030
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.667 ± 0.012	0.719 ± 0.008	0.852 ± 0.016	0.481 ± 0.032
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.658 ± 0.012	0.717 ± 0.007	0.869 ± 0.016	0.447 ± 0.032
LDA	0.637 ± 0.009	0.642 ± 0.017	0.652 ± 0.039	0.621 ± 0.036
Logistic Regression	0.610 ± 0.045	0.454 ± 0.178	0.367 ± 0.185	0.854 ± 0.101
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.684 ± 0.006	0.715 ± 0.010	0.796 ± 0.031	0.572 ± 0.028
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.677 ± 0.010	0.725 ± 0.008	0.849 ± 0.016	0.505 ± 0.022
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.663 ± 0.012	0.719 ± 0.008	0.864 ± 0.020	0.461 ± 0.034
SVM	0.661 ± 0.012	0.675 ± 0.015	0.705 ± 0.033	0.616 ± 0.034
DIAG	0.632 ± 0.011	0.629 ± 0.029	0.628 ± 0.061	0.636 ± 0.047
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.621 ± 0.042	0.573 ± 0.193	0.581 ± 0.201	0.661 ± 0.119
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.637 ± 0.013	0.639 ± 0.026	0.646 ± 0.053	0.627 ± 0.038
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.633 ± 0.013	0.635 ± 0.023	0.641 ± 0.044	0.625 ± 0.033
Days in Advance: 90				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.624 ± 0.024	0.508 ± 0.083	0.404 ± 0.127	0.844 ± 0.080
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.622 ± 0.024	0.502 ± 0.081	0.397 ± 0.125	0.846 ± 0.079
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.682 ± 0.012	0.724 ± 0.007	0.833 ± 0.021	0.532 ± 0.036
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.669 ± 0.014	0.720 ± 0.008	0.850 ± 0.019	0.488 ± 0.041
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.663 ± 0.017	0.718 ± 0.007	0.859 ± 0.029	0.468 ± 0.058
LDA	0.643 ± 0.009	0.643 ± 0.015	0.644 ± 0.030	0.642 ± 0.026
Logistic Regression	0.619 ± 0.024	0.492 ± 0.084	0.386 ± 0.125	0.852 ± 0.079
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.688 ± 0.007	0.719 ± 0.011	0.800 ± 0.032	0.576 ± 0.031
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.680 ± 0.010	0.726 ± 0.008	0.848 ± 0.014	0.513 ± 0.024
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.666 ± 0.016	0.719 ± 0.008	0.856 ± 0.026	0.475 ± 0.053
SVM	0.661 ± 0.010	0.670 ± 0.011	0.690 ± 0.024	0.631 ± 0.027
DIAG	0.634 ± 0.012	0.636 ± 0.016	0.639 ± 0.032	0.629 ± 0.032
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.636 ± 0.014	0.639 ± 0.019	0.647 ± 0.033	0.625 ± 0.025
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.639 ± 0.013	0.639 ± 0.019	0.641 ± 0.034	0.636 ± 0.025
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.625 ± 0.043	0.574 ± 0.192	0.574 ± 0.194	0.677 ± 0.110

Table 13: Performance Comparison with Training Set:50$\times$ 2, Testing Set: 1500$\times$2

	Accuracy	F1-Score	Sensitivity	Specificity
Days in Advance: 30				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.651 ± 0.031	0.617 ± 0.056	0.569 ± 0.082	0.734 ± 0.036
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.635 ± 0.027	0.551 ± 0.079	0.464 ± 0.120	0.806 ± 0.070
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.650 ± 0.024	0.687 ± 0.019	0.768 ± 0.048	0.532 ± 0.067
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.658 ± 0.015	0.708 ± 0.011	0.829 ± 0.040	0.487 ± 0.057
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.653 ± 0.012	0.706 ± 0.015	0.837 ± 0.071	0.470 ± 0.088
LDA	0.555 ± 0.021	0.557 ± 0.024	0.561 ± 0.040	0.549 ± 0.047
Logistic Regression	0.581 ± 0.070	0.346 ± 0.266	0.296 ± 0.254	0.866 ± 0.116
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.650 ± 0.024	0.677 ± 0.025	0.736 ± 0.052	0.564 ± 0.058
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.661 ± 0.014	0.707 ± 0.011	0.818 ± 0.036	0.505 ± 0.052
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.657 ± 0.010	0.709 ± 0.014	0.837 ± 0.062	0.477 ± 0.074
SVM	0.611 ± 0.028	0.613 ± 0.034	0.620 ± 0.060	0.601 ± 0.062
DIAG	0.569 ± 0.021	0.525 ± 0.044	0.483 ± 0.078	0.655 ± 0.083
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.560 ± 0.029	0.483 ± 0.166	0.457 ± 0.171	0.663 ± 0.137
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.564 ± 0.019	0.531 ± 0.043	0.500 ± 0.077	0.629 ± 0.070
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.562 ± 0.018	0.528 ± 0.042	0.496 ± 0.073	0.628 ± 0.066
Days in Advance: 60				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.624 ± 0.035	0.533 ± 0.093	0.450 ± 0.136	0.799 ± 0.082
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.634 ± 0.033	0.552 ± 0.093	0.472 ± 0.129	0.795 ± 0.068
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.651 ± 0.025	0.670 ± 0.043	0.717 ± 0.089	0.586 ± 0.064
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.658 ± 0.013	0.699 ± 0.018	0.799 ± 0.061	0.516 ± 0.069
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.648 ± 0.024	0.700 ± 0.019	0.826 ± 0.084	0.470 ± 0.120
LDA	0.559 ± 0.027	0.544 ± 0.043	0.529 ± 0.065	0.590 ± 0.051
Logistic Regression	0.613 ± 0.044	0.491 ± 0.143	0.407 ± 0.168	0.819 ± 0.091
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.650 ± 0.025	0.657 ± 0.046	0.678 ± 0.087	0.622 ± 0.052
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.662 ± 0.014	0.698 ± 0.024	0.785 ± 0.066	0.539 ± 0.061
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.655 ± 0.015	0.704 ± 0.018	0.824 ± 0.076	0.486 ± 0.097
SVM	0.613 ± 0.029	0.601 ± 0.039	0.586 ± 0.058	0.640 ± 0.048
DIAG	0.565 ± 0.020	0.497 ± 0.060	0.437 ± 0.079	0.692 ± 0.057
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.543 ± 0.037	0.322 ± 0.264	0.299 ± 0.246	0.787 ± 0.177
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.565 ± 0.019	0.514 ± 0.043	0.464 ± 0.060	0.666 ± 0.050
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.553 ± 0.025	0.457 ± 0.158	0.414 ± 0.151	0.691 ± 0.114
Days in Advance: 90				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.631 ± 0.029	0.537 ± 0.079	0.444 ± 0.120	0.819 ± 0.068
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.633 ± 0.035	0.544 ± 0.095	0.458 ± 0.133	0.809 ± 0.067
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.663 ± 0.015	0.699 ± 0.018	0.784 ± 0.048	0.542 ± 0.046
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.662 ± 0.013	0.716 ± 0.007	0.853 ± 0.030	0.471 ± 0.051
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.657 ± 0.016	0.717 ± 0.006	0.867 ± 0.033	0.447 ± 0.062
LDA	0.568 ± 0.021	0.567 ± 0.027	0.568 ± 0.045	0.569 ± 0.047
Logistic Regression	0.608 ± 0.048	0.454 ± 0.176	0.362 ± 0.163	0.855 ± 0.072
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.655 ± 0.020	0.680 ± 0.031	0.739 ± 0.067	0.570 ± 0.045
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.670 ± 0.010	0.718 ± 0.009	0.842 ± 0.031	0.497 ± 0.040
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.659 ± 0.015	0.717 ± 0.007	0.864 ± 0.033	0.453 ± 0.057
SVM	0.636 ± 0.021	0.639 ± 0.028	0.646 ± 0.048	0.626 ± 0.035
DIAG	0.571 ± 0.017	0.520 ± 0.024	0.466 ± 0.037	0.675 ± 0.046
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.566 ± 0.028	0.486 ± 0.164	0.451 ± 0.155	0.682 ± 0.115
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.569 ± 0.029	0.486 ± 0.163	0.447 ± 0.152	0.692 ± 0.109
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.573 ± 0.019	0.541 ± 0.025	0.505 ± 0.040	0.640 ± 0.045

Table 14: Performance Comparison with Training Set:100$\times$ 2, Testing Set: 1500$\times$2

	Accuracy	F1-Score	Sensitivity	Specificity
Days in Advance: 30				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.629 ± 0.033	0.553 ± 0.073	0.471 ± 0.106	0.788 ± 0.063
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.632 ± 0.024	0.549 ± 0.072	0.461 ± 0.107	0.802 ± 0.060
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.666 ± 0.016	0.709 ± 0.011	0.816 ± 0.034	0.516 ± 0.052
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.658 ± 0.016	0.714 ± 0.009	0.851 ± 0.019	0.466 ± 0.043
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.649 ± 0.020	0.712 ± 0.007	0.868 ± 0.033	0.430 ± 0.070
LDA	0.590 ± 0.023	0.597 ± 0.027	0.607 ± 0.042	0.574 ± 0.041
Logistic Regression	0.581 ± 0.055	0.353 ± 0.211	0.273 ± 0.192	0.888 ± 0.084
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.673 ± 0.012	0.707 ± 0.018	0.791 ± 0.047	0.555 ± 0.044
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.667 ± 0.016	0.718 ± 0.009	0.847 ± 0.014	0.487 ± 0.040
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.656 ± 0.015	0.715 ± 0.006	0.862 ± 0.027	0.449 ± 0.053
SVM	0.636 ± 0.022	0.645 ± 0.027	0.663 ± 0.043	0.610 ± 0.026
DIAG	0.595 ± 0.017	0.574 ± 0.036	0.549 ± 0.062	0.640 ± 0.046
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.598 ± 0.017	0.585 ± 0.029	0.569 ± 0.051	0.628 ± 0.038
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.596 ± 0.020	0.581 ± 0.035	0.563 ± 0.058	0.629 ± 0.038
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.590 ± 0.022	0.575 ± 0.037	0.558 ± 0.058	0.622 ± 0.036
Days in Advance: 60				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.634 ± 0.016	0.543 ± 0.056	0.442 ± 0.086	0.826 ± 0.056
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.633 ± 0.019	0.545 ± 0.057	0.447 ± 0.085	0.818 ± 0.054
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.674 ± 0.014	0.709 ± 0.023	0.799 ± 0.056	0.549 ± 0.039
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.668 ± 0.012	0.717 ± 0.009	0.842 ± 0.026	0.495 ± 0.036
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.659 ± 0.015	0.716 ± 0.006	0.862 ± 0.037	0.456 ± 0.064
LDA	0.595 ± 0.021	0.589 ± 0.028	0.581 ± 0.040	0.608 ± 0.024
Logistic Regression	0.618 ± 0.028	0.496 ± 0.084	0.390 ± 0.116	0.847 ± 0.068
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.674 ± 0.016	0.701 ± 0.026	0.767 ± 0.060	0.581 ± 0.041
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.677 ± 0.009	0.722 ± 0.009	0.839 ± 0.025	0.514 ± 0.028
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.662 ± 0.012	0.718 ± 0.006	0.858 ± 0.032	0.467 ± 0.051
SVM	0.642 ± 0.015	0.644 ± 0.023	0.650 ± 0.040	0.634 ± 0.029
DIAG	0.603 ± 0.018	0.573 ± 0.045	0.540 ± 0.077	0.666 ± 0.061
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.610 ± 0.015	0.596 ± 0.031	0.578 ± 0.056	0.642 ± 0.045
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.612 ± 0.015	0.601 ± 0.024	0.587 ± 0.044	0.637 ± 0.042
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.611 ± 0.016	0.600 ± 0.023	0.585 ± 0.040	0.638 ± 0.034
Days in Advance: 90				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.645 ± 0.034	0.577 ± 0.095	0.509 ± 0.146	0.782 ± 0.081
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.648 ± 0.032	0.584 ± 0.086	0.516 ± 0.139	0.780 ± 0.078
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.674 ± 0.018	0.698 ± 0.033	0.760 ± 0.075	0.587 ± 0.048
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.679 ± 0.012	0.721 ± 0.011	0.831 ± 0.035	0.526 ± 0.044
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.668 ± 0.015	0.722 ± 0.006	0.860 ± 0.023	0.477 ± 0.047
LDA	0.588 ± 0.020	0.584 ± 0.026	0.581 ± 0.046	0.596 ± 0.049
Logistic Regression	0.634 ± 0.051	0.534 ± 0.167	0.471 ± 0.201	0.797 ± 0.104
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.671 ± 0.019	0.689 ± 0.031	0.734 ± 0.065	0.608 ± 0.040
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.680 ± 0.012	0.715 ± 0.021	0.808 ± 0.059	0.552 ± 0.050
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.673 ± 0.013	0.722 ± 0.007	0.851 ± 0.021	0.494 ± 0.040
SVM	0.626 ± 0.022	0.627 ± 0.029	0.632 ± 0.047	0.620 ± 0.036
DIAG	0.603 ± 0.017	0.566 ± 0.040	0.524 ± 0.070	0.682 ± 0.046
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.608 ± 0.018	0.584 ± 0.036	0.555 ± 0.063	0.661 ± 0.042
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.606 ± 0.017	0.586 ± 0.033	0.560 ± 0.057	0.653 ± 0.039
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.603 ± 0.018	0.585 ± 0.032	0.563 ± 0.054	0.643 ± 0.042

Table 15: Performance Comparison with Training Set:150$\times$ 2, Testing Set: 1500$\times$2

	Accuracy	F1-Score	Sensitivity	Specificity
Days in Advance: 30				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.640 ± 0.022	0.565 ± 0.069	0.481 ± 0.108	0.799 ± 0.067
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.639 ± 0.021	0.559 ± 0.067	0.471 ± 0.106	0.806 ± 0.068
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.677 ± 0.008	0.714 ± 0.018	0.807 ± 0.049	0.547 ± 0.039
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.669 ± 0.008	0.719 ± 0.006	0.845 ± 0.033	0.493 ± 0.044
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.663 ± 0.014	0.718 ± 0.003	0.858 ± 0.033	0.467 ± 0.059
LDA	0.618 ± 0.016	0.621 ± 0.027	0.629 ± 0.050	0.608 ± 0.034
Logistic Regression	0.632 ± 0.026	0.538 ± 0.088	0.449 ± 0.127	0.815 ± 0.077
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.676 ± 0.013	0.702 ± 0.025	0.764 ± 0.056	0.589 ± 0.034
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.678 ± 0.003	0.724 ± 0.008	0.842 ± 0.031	0.514 ± 0.031
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.666 ± 0.012	0.719 ± 0.003	0.854 ± 0.031	0.478 ± 0.053
SVM	0.649 ± 0.015	0.657 ± 0.027	0.675 ± 0.054	0.622 ± 0.034
DIAG	0.610 ± 0.012	0.597 ± 0.018	0.578 ± 0.034	0.643 ± 0.033
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.612 ± 0.016	0.604 ± 0.023	0.593 ± 0.040	0.631 ± 0.032
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.615 ± 0.018	0.606 ± 0.028	0.594 ± 0.046	0.636 ± 0.032
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.617 ± 0.020	0.610 ± 0.028	0.600 ± 0.046	0.635 ± 0.034
Days in Advance: 60				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.640 ± 0.025	0.550 ± 0.076	0.457 ± 0.122	0.823 ± 0.077
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.636 ± 0.025	0.536 ± 0.082	0.439 ± 0.129	0.834 ± 0.082
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.683 ± 0.010	0.720 ± 0.012	0.814 ± 0.029	0.552 ± 0.022
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.674 ± 0.011	0.723 ± 0.007	0.848 ± 0.017	0.500 ± 0.030
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.664 ± 0.018	0.720 ± 0.009	0.863 ± 0.020	0.465 ± 0.052
LDA	0.614 ± 0.012	0.610 ± 0.017	0.606 ± 0.030	0.622 ± 0.028
Logistic Regression	0.589 ± 0.072	0.355 ± 0.275	0.301 ± 0.254	0.877 ± 0.114
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.686 ± 0.010	0.712 ± 0.013	0.777 ± 0.029	0.594 ± 0.022
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.682 ± 0.008	0.726 ± 0.007	0.842 ± 0.015	0.521 ± 0.014
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.669 ± 0.016	0.722 ± 0.008	0.859 ± 0.019	0.480 ± 0.046
SVM	0.646 ± 0.013	0.646 ± 0.016	0.648 ± 0.024	0.643 ± 0.016
DIAG	0.618 ± 0.018	0.595 ± 0.037	0.564 ± 0.059	0.673 ± 0.038
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.623 ± 0.017	0.606 ± 0.034	0.584 ± 0.056	0.661 ± 0.035
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.620 ± 0.017	0.604 ± 0.032	0.583 ± 0.052	0.656 ± 0.032
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.616 ± 0.012	0.601 ± 0.023	0.581 ± 0.039	0.651 ± 0.025
Days in Advance: 90				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.620 ± 0.024	0.497 ± 0.066	0.385 ± 0.096	0.856 ± 0.050
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.622 ± 0.024	0.502 ± 0.067	0.392 ± 0.100	0.852 ± 0.054
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.688 ± 0.011	0.723 ± 0.010	0.812 ± 0.031	0.564 ± 0.039
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.673 ± 0.015	0.721 ± 0.007	0.846 ± 0.015	0.499 ± 0.042
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.666 ± 0.017	0.719 ± 0.006	0.855 ± 0.025	0.477 ± 0.056
LDA	0.622 ± 0.013	0.619 ± 0.022	0.616 ± 0.039	0.628 ± 0.019
Logistic Regression	0.597 ± 0.050	0.408 ± 0.186	0.314 ± 0.172	0.879 ± 0.075
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.686 ± 0.012	0.709 ± 0.017	0.766 ± 0.040	0.606 ± 0.033
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.683 ± 0.008	0.727 ± 0.005	0.843 ± 0.014	0.523 ± 0.023
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.669 ± 0.016	0.720 ± 0.007	0.851 ± 0.019	0.486 ± 0.047
SVM	0.649 ± 0.015	0.646 ± 0.027	0.642 ± 0.050	0.656 ± 0.025
DIAG	0.620 ± 0.016	0.589 ± 0.038	0.549 ± 0.064	0.691 ± 0.035
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.631 ± 0.014	0.615 ± 0.028	0.593 ± 0.049	0.668 ± 0.024
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.633 ± 0.014	0.621 ± 0.025	0.602 ± 0.042	0.663 ± 0.016
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.629 ± 0.012	0.616 ± 0.021	0.598 ± 0.034	0.660 ± 0.014

Table 16: Performance Comparison with Training Set:200$\times$ 2, Testing Set: 1500$\times$2

	Accuracy	F1-Score	Sensitivity	Specificity
Days in Advance: 30				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.643 ± 0.028	0.567 ± 0.082	0.487 ± 0.129	0.799 ± 0.075
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.638 ± 0.030	0.546 ± 0.088	0.457 ± 0.139	0.819 ± 0.082
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.684 ± 0.011	0.722 ± 0.012	0.823 ± 0.025	0.544 ± 0.017
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.674 ± 0.008	0.722 ± 0.005	0.846 ± 0.020	0.503 ± 0.031
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.667 ± 0.012	0.719 ± 0.004	0.853 ± 0.027	0.481 ± 0.050
LDA	0.636 ± 0.020	0.639 ± 0.023	0.643 ± 0.030	0.629 ± 0.018
Logistic Regression	0.614 ± 0.064	0.445 ± 0.238	0.377 ± 0.230	0.851 ± 0.106
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.683 ± 0.012	0.712 ± 0.014	0.782 ± 0.029	0.585 ± 0.020
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.682 ± 0.007	0.726 ± 0.007	0.843 ± 0.016	0.520 ± 0.016
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.670 ± 0.010	0.720 ± 0.004	0.851 ± 0.025	0.488 ± 0.043
SVM	0.659 ± 0.017	0.668 ± 0.021	0.685 ± 0.033	0.634 ± 0.019
DIAG	0.625 ± 0.022	0.613 ± 0.036	0.596 ± 0.054	0.654 ± 0.018
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.633 ± 0.021	0.626 ± 0.032	0.617 ± 0.048	0.649 ± 0.013
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.635 ± 0.021	0.630 ± 0.030	0.622 ± 0.044	0.648 ± 0.013
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.636 ± 0.021	0.630 ± 0.029	0.621 ± 0.040	0.650 ± 0.015
Days in Advance: 60				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.621 ± 0.019	0.496 ± 0.060	0.381 ± 0.092	0.862 ± 0.055
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.623 ± 0.019	0.503 ± 0.061	0.390 ± 0.093	0.856 ± 0.057
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.685 ± 0.014	0.720 ± 0.012	0.811 ± 0.032	0.559 ± 0.044
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.674 ± 0.019	0.723 ± 0.010	0.848 ± 0.011	0.501 ± 0.045
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.666 ± 0.020	0.719 ± 0.009	0.853 ± 0.018	0.478 ± 0.055
LDA	0.631 ± 0.016	0.628 ± 0.018	0.625 ± 0.032	0.636 ± 0.037
Logistic Regression	0.608 ± 0.041	0.443 ± 0.159	0.340 ± 0.146	0.877 ± 0.068
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.685 ± 0.011	0.708 ± 0.014	0.765 ± 0.039	0.605 ± 0.042
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.681 ± 0.013	0.725 ± 0.008	0.839 ± 0.013	0.524 ± 0.033
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.669 ± 0.019	0.720 ± 0.009	0.850 ± 0.016	0.488 ± 0.051
SVM	0.656 ± 0.013	0.663 ± 0.014	0.678 ± 0.031	0.635 ± 0.038
DIAG	0.635 ± 0.016	0.625 ± 0.022	0.610 ± 0.041	0.660 ± 0.041
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.623 ± 0.043	0.569 ± 0.191	0.564 ± 0.191	0.682 ± 0.113
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.625 ± 0.044	0.570 ± 0.191	0.564 ± 0.189	0.685 ± 0.113
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.622 ± 0.042	0.564 ± 0.188	0.550 ± 0.184	0.694 ± 0.105
Days in Advance: 90				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.625 ± 0.029	0.515 ± 0.086	0.414 ± 0.124	0.837 ± 0.068
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.627 ± 0.029	0.516 ± 0.087	0.416 ± 0.126	0.837 ± 0.070
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.685 ± 0.014	0.723 ± 0.010	0.823 ± 0.013	0.546 ± 0.027
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.676 ± 0.016	0.723 ± 0.009	0.847 ± 0.015	0.505 ± 0.040
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.664 ± 0.018	0.720 ± 0.008	0.863 ± 0.022	0.465 ± 0.055
LDA	0.633 ± 0.015	0.640 ± 0.017	0.654 ± 0.032	0.611 ± 0.035
Logistic Regression	0.614 ± 0.041	0.478 ± 0.126	0.380 ± 0.156	0.848 ± 0.077
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.685 ± 0.014	0.713 ± 0.015	0.784 ± 0.030	0.585 ± 0.030
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.684 ± 0.012	0.728 ± 0.008	0.847 ± 0.012	0.520 ± 0.024
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.671 ± 0.018	0.723 ± 0.009	0.857 ± 0.020	0.485 ± 0.052
SVM	0.656 ± 0.009	0.665 ± 0.017	0.686 ± 0.047	0.625 ± 0.048
DIAG	0.628 ± 0.012	0.624 ± 0.020	0.620 ± 0.048	0.636 ± 0.051
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.632 ± 0.014	0.634 ± 0.017	0.638 ± 0.038	0.625 ± 0.041
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.618 ± 0.042	0.570 ± 0.191	0.575 ± 0.194	0.662 ± 0.118
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.632 ± 0.015	0.637 ± 0.017	0.646 ± 0.033	0.619 ± 0.036

Table 17: Performance Comparison with Training Set:250$\times$ 2, Testing Set: 1500$\times$2

	Accuracy	F1-Score	Sensitivity	Specificity
Days in Advance: 30				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.610 ± 0.017	0.454 ± 0.046	0.326 ± 0.043	0.894 ± 0.010
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.614 ± 0.012	0.467 ± 0.033	0.340 ± 0.035	0.889 ± 0.014
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.686 ± 0.015	0.726 ± 0.012	0.830 ± 0.028	0.543 ± 0.038
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.673 ± 0.014	0.721 ± 0.009	0.847 ± 0.021	0.499 ± 0.038
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.667 ± 0.016	0.721 ± 0.008	0.857 ± 0.023	0.478 ± 0.049
LDA	0.641 ± 0.015	0.644 ± 0.016	0.648 ± 0.023	0.634 ± 0.019
Logistic Regression	0.596 ± 0.039	0.397 ± 0.148	0.284 ± 0.112	0.908 ± 0.035
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.688 ± 0.009	0.719 ± 0.008	0.797 ± 0.022	0.580 ± 0.029
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.682 ± 0.012	0.727 ± 0.010	0.847 ± 0.019	0.517 ± 0.027
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.670 ± 0.015	0.721 ± 0.008	0.854 ± 0.022	0.485 ± 0.045
SVM	0.663 ± 0.012	0.674 ± 0.017	0.698 ± 0.034	0.629 ± 0.027
DIAG	0.635 ± 0.013	0.632 ± 0.025	0.628 ± 0.051	0.642 ± 0.043
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.642 ± 0.015	0.643 ± 0.017	0.646 ± 0.029	0.637 ± 0.032
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.631 ± 0.045	0.582 ± 0.194	0.585 ± 0.196	0.678 ± 0.111
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.628 ± 0.044	0.576 ± 0.193	0.574 ± 0.193	0.681 ± 0.108
Days in Advance: 60				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.623 ± 0.024	0.506 ± 0.082	0.404 ± 0.126	0.842 ± 0.079
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.617 ± 0.024	0.485 ± 0.073	0.372 ± 0.114	0.863 ± 0.067
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.685 ± 0.010	0.723 ± 0.007	0.822 ± 0.030	0.549 ± 0.043
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.672 ± 0.012	0.722 ± 0.009	0.851 ± 0.020	0.492 ± 0.030
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.663 ± 0.011	0.720 ± 0.008	0.867 ± 0.022	0.459 ± 0.032
LDA	0.644 ± 0.012	0.647 ± 0.015	0.653 ± 0.032	0.634 ± 0.033
Logistic Regression	0.595 ± 0.052	0.392 ± 0.207	0.304 ± 0.183	0.887 ± 0.083
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.685 ± 0.008	0.711 ± 0.011	0.777 ± 0.034	0.592 ± 0.036
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.680 ± 0.010	0.726 ± 0.007	0.848 ± 0.017	0.512 ± 0.026
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.668 ± 0.009	0.721 ± 0.008	0.859 ± 0.022	0.477 ± 0.028
SVM	0.664 ± 0.012	0.674 ± 0.015	0.697 ± 0.034	0.632 ± 0.038
DIAG	0.638 ± 0.014	0.632 ± 0.029	0.625 ± 0.058	0.651 ± 0.053
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.628 ± 0.045	0.574 ± 0.193	0.571 ± 0.197	0.685 ± 0.115
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.600 ± 0.067	0.451 ± 0.296	0.453 ± 0.297	0.748 ± 0.168
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.628 ± 0.044	0.575 ± 0.193	0.571 ± 0.195	0.685 ± 0.111
Days in Advance: 90				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.618 ± 0.011	0.481 ± 0.034	0.356 ± 0.041	0.879 ± 0.024
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.621 ± 0.013	0.494 ± 0.046	0.375 ± 0.058	0.868 ± 0.037
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.688 ± 0.007	0.727 ± 0.009	0.832 ± 0.025	0.544 ± 0.021
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.681 ± 0.008	0.728 ± 0.005	0.853 ± 0.017	0.509 ± 0.027
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.672 ± 0.018	0.724 ± 0.007	0.860 ± 0.025	0.484 ± 0.057
LDA	0.649 ± 0.011	0.653 ± 0.017	0.664 ± 0.034	0.634 ± 0.023
Logistic Regression	0.618 ± 0.011	0.480 ± 0.034	0.354 ± 0.038	0.882 ± 0.021
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.690 ± 0.010	0.720 ± 0.013	0.799 ± 0.029	0.582 ± 0.020
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.686 ± 0.007	0.730 ± 0.005	0.849 ± 0.014	0.523 ± 0.018
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.674 ± 0.015	0.725 ± 0.006	0.859 ± 0.026	0.489 ± 0.053
SVM	0.669 ± 0.011	0.681 ± 0.016	0.707 ± 0.031	0.631 ± 0.019
DIAG	0.642 ± 0.017	0.642 ± 0.026	0.645 ± 0.045	0.638 ± 0.020
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.634 ± 0.046	0.588 ± 0.197	0.596 ± 0.202	0.672 ± 0.112
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.644 ± 0.020	0.646 ± 0.027	0.651 ± 0.045	0.637 ± 0.028
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.642 ± 0.018	0.643 ± 0.026	0.645 ± 0.043	0.639 ± 0.029

Table 18: Performance Comparison with Training Set:50$\times$ 2, Testing Set: 2000$\times$2

	Accuracy	F1-Score	Sensitivity	Specificity
Days in Advance: 30				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.637 ± 0.028	0.571 ± 0.057	0.491 ± 0.085	0.783 ± 0.053
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.640 ± 0.024	0.570 ± 0.061	0.487 ± 0.093	0.792 ± 0.053
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.662 ± 0.017	0.692 ± 0.028	0.762 ± 0.069	0.563 ± 0.058
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.670 ± 0.017	0.713 ± 0.010	0.819 ± 0.023	0.520 ± 0.047
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.664 ± 0.020	0.713 ± 0.008	0.834 ± 0.033	0.494 ± 0.068
LDA	0.555 ± 0.026	0.565 ± 0.033	0.579 ± 0.048	0.531 ± 0.040
Logistic Regression	0.615 ± 0.055	0.469 ± 0.206	0.395 ± 0.200	0.835 ± 0.094
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.658 ± 0.019	0.677 ± 0.034	0.723 ± 0.073	0.592 ± 0.050
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.672 ± 0.015	0.713 ± 0.010	0.813 ± 0.025	0.532 ± 0.042
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.668 ± 0.018	0.714 ± 0.008	0.830 ± 0.026	0.506 ± 0.056
SVM	0.611 ± 0.026	0.619 ± 0.034	0.632 ± 0.050	0.590 ± 0.029
DIAG	0.568 ± 0.014	0.515 ± 0.026	0.460 ± 0.042	0.676 ± 0.046
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.574 ± 0.014	0.538 ± 0.025	0.499 ± 0.041	0.649 ± 0.045
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.560 ± 0.033	0.438 ± 0.220	0.413 ± 0.210	0.708 ± 0.152
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.560 ± 0.025	0.480 ± 0.163	0.448 ± 0.158	0.672 ± 0.118
Days in Advance: 60				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.646 ± 0.021	0.596 ± 0.054	0.531 ± 0.095	0.762 ± 0.057
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.639 ± 0.027	0.569 ± 0.083	0.491 ± 0.111	0.788 ± 0.060
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.654 ± 0.016	0.690 ± 0.016	0.774 ± 0.067	0.535 ± 0.088
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.653 ± 0.019	0.706 ± 0.010	0.833 ± 0.053	0.474 ± 0.083
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.643 ± 0.024	0.701 ± 0.028	0.844 ± 0.098	0.443 ± 0.124
LDA	0.556 ± 0.028	0.550 ± 0.042	0.547 ± 0.072	0.565 ± 0.065
Logistic Regression	0.631 ± 0.031	0.535 ± 0.108	0.447 ± 0.132	0.814 ± 0.073
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.655 ± 0.012	0.675 ± 0.023	0.723 ± 0.070	0.587 ± 0.074
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.661 ± 0.016	0.708 ± 0.009	0.823 ± 0.051	0.499 ± 0.077
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.649 ± 0.021	0.705 ± 0.020	0.844 ± 0.082	0.454 ± 0.110
SVM	0.627 ± 0.019	0.625 ± 0.027	0.625 ± 0.053	0.629 ± 0.056
DIAG	0.565 ± 0.011	0.514 ± 0.046	0.468 ± 0.076	0.662 ± 0.072
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.568 ± 0.012	0.530 ± 0.040	0.492 ± 0.069	0.644 ± 0.063
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.567 ± 0.013	0.528 ± 0.038	0.489 ± 0.067	0.646 ± 0.059
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.561 ± 0.025	0.477 ± 0.164	0.444 ± 0.163	0.677 ± 0.120
Days in Advance: 90				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.627 ± 0.034	0.572 ± 0.063	0.507 ± 0.091	0.747 ± 0.054
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.632 ± 0.035	0.575 ± 0.054	0.504 ± 0.077	0.759 ± 0.058
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.641 ± 0.018	0.663 ± 0.041	0.716 ± 0.106	0.566 ± 0.091
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.651 ± 0.018	0.693 ± 0.034	0.797 ± 0.093	0.505 ± 0.096
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.634 ± 0.040	0.675 ± 0.101	0.808 ± 0.188	0.459 ± 0.173
LDA	0.546 ± 0.025	0.532 ± 0.038	0.518 ± 0.058	0.574 ± 0.046
Logistic Regression	0.597 ± 0.058	0.423 ± 0.217	0.351 ± 0.207	0.843 ± 0.096
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.642 ± 0.022	0.663 ± 0.035	0.710 ± 0.078	0.574 ± 0.060
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.658 ± 0.016	0.696 ± 0.022	0.787 ± 0.073	0.528 ± 0.084
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.641 ± 0.031	0.683 ± 0.081	0.808 ± 0.164	0.475 ± 0.148
SVM	0.597 ± 0.034	0.600 ± 0.036	0.606 ± 0.047	0.587 ± 0.046
DIAG	0.568 ± 0.023	0.514 ± 0.048	0.464 ± 0.074	0.672 ± 0.066
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.569 ± 0.020	0.530 ± 0.041	0.490 ± 0.065	0.648 ± 0.054
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.565 ± 0.021	0.519 ± 0.041	0.473 ± 0.059	0.657 ± 0.044
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.559 ± 0.019	0.511 ± 0.040	0.465 ± 0.061	0.653 ± 0.050

Table 19: Performance Comparison with Training Set:100$\times$ 2, Testing Set: 2000$\times$2

	Accuracy	F1-Score	Sensitivity	Specificity
Days in Advance: 30				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.632 ± 0.029	0.541 ± 0.095	0.452 ± 0.117	0.812 ± 0.065
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.631 ± 0.032	0.538 ± 0.099	0.447 ± 0.120	0.814 ± 0.062
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.674 ± 0.012	0.708 ± 0.019	0.792 ± 0.043	0.556 ± 0.029
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.675 ± 0.006	0.722 ± 0.008	0.844 ± 0.022	0.507 ± 0.017
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.664 ± 0.010	0.718 ± 0.004	0.858 ± 0.031	0.469 ± 0.048
LDA	0.594 ± 0.016	0.592 ± 0.019	0.591 ± 0.027	0.597 ± 0.018
Logistic Regression	0.593 ± 0.054	0.394 ± 0.200	0.305 ± 0.180	0.881 ± 0.075
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.674 ± 0.018	0.700 ± 0.025	0.765 ± 0.050	0.582 ± 0.026
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.681 ± 0.006	0.724 ± 0.006	0.838 ± 0.018	0.524 ± 0.020
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.668 ± 0.009	0.720 ± 0.006	0.854 ± 0.028	0.481 ± 0.041
SVM	0.636 ± 0.016	0.642 ± 0.024	0.655 ± 0.044	0.618 ± 0.025
DIAG	0.594 ± 0.019	0.562 ± 0.034	0.524 ± 0.050	0.663 ± 0.033
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.600 ± 0.020	0.582 ± 0.031	0.559 ± 0.045	0.641 ± 0.022
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.581 ± 0.044	0.467 ± 0.235	0.449 ± 0.228	0.714 ± 0.144
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.599 ± 0.014	0.582 ± 0.020	0.559 ± 0.029	0.639 ± 0.022
Days in Advance: 60				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.633 ± 0.024	0.537 ± 0.076	0.439 ± 0.110	0.827 ± 0.067
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.623 ± 0.024	0.507 ± 0.065	0.396 ± 0.089	0.850 ± 0.052
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.676 ± 0.016	0.711 ± 0.015	0.797 ± 0.041	0.555 ± 0.052
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.672 ± 0.019	0.719 ± 0.015	0.837 ± 0.025	0.508 ± 0.039
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.668 ± 0.017	0.716 ± 0.013	0.838 ± 0.038	0.498 ± 0.054
LDA	0.603 ± 0.024	0.599 ± 0.026	0.595 ± 0.033	0.610 ± 0.032
Logistic Regression	0.613 ± 0.042	0.462 ± 0.164	0.362 ± 0.147	0.863 ± 0.069
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.679 ± 0.011	0.707 ± 0.014	0.776 ± 0.041	0.582 ± 0.043
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.676 ± 0.016	0.720 ± 0.012	0.834 ± 0.026	0.518 ± 0.039
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.671 ± 0.017	0.718 ± 0.012	0.838 ± 0.029	0.504 ± 0.045
SVM	0.644 ± 0.016	0.645 ± 0.020	0.650 ± 0.038	0.637 ± 0.037
DIAG	0.596 ± 0.015	0.562 ± 0.033	0.522 ± 0.058	0.670 ± 0.054
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.600 ± 0.016	0.580 ± 0.024	0.554 ± 0.040	0.645 ± 0.038
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.596 ± 0.035	0.532 ± 0.178	0.513 ± 0.174	0.680 ± 0.113
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.596 ± 0.039	0.532 ± 0.179	0.513 ± 0.175	0.678 ± 0.115
Days in Advance: 90				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.626 ± 0.022	0.519 ± 0.061	0.412 ± 0.093	0.840 ± 0.058
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.631 ± 0.017	0.523 ± 0.056	0.413 ± 0.087	0.849 ± 0.053
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.674 ± 0.013	0.709 ± 0.020	0.796 ± 0.052	0.552 ± 0.047
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.674 ± 0.010	0.721 ± 0.006	0.845 ± 0.021	0.502 ± 0.034
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.666 ± 0.015	0.719 ± 0.006	0.856 ± 0.025	0.477 ± 0.052
LDA	0.605 ± 0.017	0.607 ± 0.026	0.612 ± 0.045	0.598 ± 0.028
Logistic Regression	0.611 ± 0.036	0.453 ± 0.130	0.345 ± 0.136	0.876 ± 0.067
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.675 ± 0.013	0.700 ± 0.026	0.764 ± 0.061	0.587 ± 0.045
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.682 ± 0.007	0.725 ± 0.007	0.840 ± 0.025	0.523 ± 0.030
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.669 ± 0.013	0.721 ± 0.006	0.853 ± 0.023	0.486 ± 0.046
SVM	0.632 ± 0.017	0.638 ± 0.023	0.649 ± 0.039	0.616 ± 0.026
DIAG	0.597 ± 0.015	0.574 ± 0.039	0.549 ± 0.072	0.644 ± 0.063
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.593 ± 0.034	0.531 ± 0.179	0.517 ± 0.182	0.668 ± 0.120
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.602 ± 0.015	0.589 ± 0.028	0.575 ± 0.053	0.628 ± 0.043
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.599 ± 0.015	0.586 ± 0.025	0.570 ± 0.045	0.629 ± 0.037

Table 20: Performance Comparison with Training Set:150$\times$ 2, Testing Set: 2000$\times$2

	Accuracy	F1-Score	Sensitivity	Specificity
Days in Advance: 30				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.615 ± 0.010	0.484 ± 0.033	0.363 ± 0.039	0.867 ± 0.024
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.615 ± 0.007	0.482 ± 0.025	0.359 ± 0.032	0.871 ± 0.023
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.682 ± 0.008	0.723 ± 0.008	0.829 ± 0.021	0.534 ± 0.019
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.671 ± 0.013	0.721 ± 0.008	0.851 ± 0.016	0.490 ± 0.035
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.662 ± 0.014	0.718 ± 0.007	0.861 ± 0.020	0.464 ± 0.044
LDA	0.613 ± 0.012	0.611 ± 0.018	0.610 ± 0.038	0.615 ± 0.037
Logistic Regression	0.581 ± 0.045	0.352 ± 0.189	0.255 ± 0.142	0.908 ± 0.053
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.681 ± 0.009	0.712 ± 0.012	0.790 ± 0.028	0.572 ± 0.020
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.681 ± 0.007	0.727 ± 0.006	0.849 ± 0.013	0.512 ± 0.019
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.667 ± 0.013	0.720 ± 0.007	0.857 ± 0.020	0.478 ± 0.041
SVM	0.650 ± 0.012	0.660 ± 0.014	0.680 ± 0.024	0.620 ± 0.023
DIAG	0.619 ± 0.014	0.610 ± 0.031	0.600 ± 0.056	0.637 ± 0.037
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.599 ± 0.051	0.500 ± 0.251	0.503 ± 0.256	0.696 ± 0.156
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.611 ± 0.039	0.562 ± 0.189	0.566 ± 0.195	0.656 ± 0.121
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.615 ± 0.009	0.611 ± 0.024	0.608 ± 0.051	0.623 ± 0.045
Days in Advance: 60				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.625 ± 0.039	0.512 ± 0.131	0.424 ± 0.156	0.826 ± 0.081
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.637 ± 0.024	0.554 ± 0.072	0.466 ± 0.113	0.809 ± 0.068
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.677 ± 0.017	0.717 ± 0.015	0.818 ± 0.028	0.536 ± 0.032
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.671 ± 0.012	0.721 ± 0.008	0.848 ± 0.022	0.494 ± 0.038
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.662 ± 0.014	0.718 ± 0.006	0.861 ± 0.031	0.463 ± 0.055
LDA	0.623 ± 0.014	0.621 ± 0.023	0.619 ± 0.040	0.627 ± 0.023
Logistic Regression	0.600 ± 0.054	0.412 ± 0.217	0.331 ± 0.195	0.869 ± 0.090
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.681 ± 0.016	0.711 ± 0.016	0.787 ± 0.033	0.574 ± 0.036
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.678 ± 0.011	0.724 ± 0.009	0.843 ± 0.017	0.513 ± 0.023
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.667 ± 0.014	0.720 ± 0.007	0.856 ± 0.028	0.477 ± 0.050
SVM	0.649 ± 0.017	0.654 ± 0.025	0.665 ± 0.042	0.633 ± 0.024
DIAG	0.615 ± 0.018	0.597 ± 0.032	0.574 ± 0.054	0.656 ± 0.045
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.618 ± 0.018	0.605 ± 0.031	0.587 ± 0.051	0.649 ± 0.039
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.608 ± 0.039	0.548 ± 0.184	0.533 ± 0.181	0.683 ± 0.110
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.618 ± 0.015	0.602 ± 0.027	0.581 ± 0.045	0.655 ± 0.033
Days in Advance: 90				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.630 ± 0.023	0.531 ± 0.075	0.436 ± 0.123	0.824 ± 0.082
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.630 ± 0.023	0.534 ± 0.078	0.441 ± 0.126	0.820 ± 0.083
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.674 ± 0.012	0.708 ± 0.017	0.794 ± 0.045	0.553 ± 0.039
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.671 ± 0.011	0.720 ± 0.007	0.845 ± 0.021	0.498 ± 0.035
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.663 ± 0.013	0.718 ± 0.004	0.857 ± 0.025	0.470 ± 0.050
LDA	0.611 ± 0.020	0.610 ± 0.025	0.608 ± 0.039	0.614 ± 0.024
Logistic Regression	0.614 ± 0.045	0.463 ± 0.174	0.374 ± 0.180	0.853 ± 0.098
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.672 ± 0.018	0.693 ± 0.030	0.745 ± 0.065	0.600 ± 0.042
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.678 ± 0.010	0.722 ± 0.009	0.836 ± 0.026	0.521 ± 0.033
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.668 ± 0.010	0.720 ± 0.005	0.851 ± 0.022	0.485 ± 0.039
SVM	0.639 ± 0.015	0.645 ± 0.020	0.657 ± 0.035	0.622 ± 0.026
DIAG	0.610 ± 0.012	0.602 ± 0.022	0.590 ± 0.042	0.631 ± 0.031
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.613 ± 0.011	0.608 ± 0.019	0.601 ± 0.036	0.626 ± 0.027
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.602 ± 0.036	0.547 ± 0.183	0.540 ± 0.183	0.665 ± 0.114
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.601 ± 0.036	0.545 ± 0.183	0.536 ± 0.182	0.665 ± 0.113

Table 21: Performance Comparison with Training Set:200$\times$ 2, Testing Set: 2000$\times$2

	Accuracy	F1-Score	Sensitivity	Specificity
Days in Advance: 30				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.618 ± 0.026	0.485 ± 0.082	0.373 ± 0.115	0.863 ± 0.064
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.618 ± 0.022	0.491 ± 0.064	0.377 ± 0.092	0.859 ± 0.052
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.688 ± 0.006	0.725 ± 0.007	0.824 ± 0.017	0.553 ± 0.016
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.680 ± 0.005	0.725 ± 0.005	0.847 ± 0.013	0.513 ± 0.013
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.669 ± 0.011	0.721 ± 0.003	0.855 ± 0.026	0.483 ± 0.047
LDA	0.637 ± 0.006	0.644 ± 0.010	0.655 ± 0.021	0.620 ± 0.020
Logistic Regression	0.598 ± 0.046	0.411 ± 0.175	0.313 ± 0.159	0.883 ± 0.070
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.686 ± 0.007	0.717 ± 0.007	0.794 ± 0.017	0.578 ± 0.019
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.684 ± 0.006	0.729 ± 0.005	0.850 ± 0.007	0.519 ± 0.010
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.673 ± 0.009	0.723 ± 0.004	0.852 ± 0.024	0.494 ± 0.038
SVM	0.660 ± 0.012	0.671 ± 0.012	0.693 ± 0.014	0.626 ± 0.015
DIAG	0.623 ± 0.013	0.603 ± 0.024	0.575 ± 0.041	0.671 ± 0.029
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.628 ± 0.013	0.621 ± 0.023	0.610 ± 0.039	0.646 ± 0.024
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.619 ± 0.042	0.565 ± 0.190	0.560 ± 0.190	0.678 ± 0.110
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.633 ± 0.012	0.629 ± 0.019	0.624 ± 0.034	0.642 ± 0.022
Days in Advance: 60				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.605 ± 0.023	0.445 ± 0.085	0.325 ± 0.074	0.885 ± 0.033
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.616 ± 0.010	0.479 ± 0.038	0.356 ± 0.048	0.876 ± 0.032
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.684 ± 0.006	0.721 ± 0.006	0.818 ± 0.019	0.549 ± 0.023
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.674 ± 0.008	0.722 ± 0.006	0.844 ± 0.019	0.505 ± 0.026
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.673 ± 0.010	0.721 ± 0.006	0.845 ± 0.021	0.502 ± 0.035
LDA	0.626 ± 0.009	0.622 ± 0.013	0.616 ± 0.028	0.635 ± 0.031
Logistic Regression	0.589 ± 0.038	0.380 ± 0.151	0.270 ± 0.113	0.908 ± 0.038
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.684 ± 0.010	0.710 ± 0.012	0.773 ± 0.027	0.595 ± 0.023
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.682 ± 0.006	0.726 ± 0.007	0.844 ± 0.017	0.520 ± 0.014
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.675 ± 0.008	0.722 ± 0.006	0.843 ± 0.022	0.508 ± 0.031
SVM	0.651 ± 0.006	0.659 ± 0.010	0.675 ± 0.026	0.626 ± 0.028
DIAG	0.627 ± 0.012	0.615 ± 0.023	0.597 ± 0.045	0.657 ± 0.039
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.618 ± 0.041	0.562 ± 0.188	0.553 ± 0.187	0.683 ± 0.111
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.620 ± 0.040	0.565 ± 0.189	0.557 ± 0.187	0.683 ± 0.110
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.616 ± 0.039	0.557 ± 0.186	0.544 ± 0.183	0.688 ± 0.109
Days in Advance: 90				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.626 ± 0.033	0.507 ± 0.107	0.411 ± 0.153	0.840 ± 0.088
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.632 ± 0.028	0.533 ± 0.092	0.441 ± 0.135	0.823 ± 0.080
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.682 ± 0.008	0.722 ± 0.008	0.825 ± 0.017	0.540 ± 0.020
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.664 ± 0.012	0.718 ± 0.006	0.856 ± 0.025	0.472 ± 0.044
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.656 ± 0.016	0.715 ± 0.005	0.865 ± 0.029	0.447 ± 0.058
LDA	0.631 ± 0.014	0.630 ± 0.018	0.631 ± 0.034	0.630 ± 0.032
Logistic Regression	0.605 ± 0.060	0.424 ± 0.232	0.353 ± 0.222	0.857 ± 0.107
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.684 ± 0.010	0.714 ± 0.014	0.789 ± 0.031	0.579 ± 0.020
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.676 ± 0.008	0.724 ± 0.004	0.852 ± 0.019	0.500 ± 0.030
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.658 ± 0.015	0.716 ± 0.005	0.863 ± 0.029	0.452 ± 0.057
SVM	0.657 ± 0.009	0.669 ± 0.015	0.693 ± 0.031	0.621 ± 0.024
DIAG	0.625 ± 0.013	0.614 ± 0.029	0.601 ± 0.055	0.648 ± 0.045
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.627 ± 0.014	0.617 ± 0.030	0.604 ± 0.056	0.651 ± 0.043
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.626 ± 0.013	0.616 ± 0.027	0.603 ± 0.051	0.650 ± 0.042
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.626 ± 0.014	0.617 ± 0.023	0.604 ± 0.045	0.649 ± 0.043

Table 22: Performance Comparison with Training Set:250$\times$ 2, Testing Set: 2000$\times$2

	Accuracy	F1-Score	Sensitivity	Specificity
Days in Advance: 30				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.620 ± 0.037	0.484 ± 0.110	0.380 ± 0.147	0.860 ± 0.076
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.625 ± 0.033	0.499 ± 0.097	0.394 ± 0.138	0.856 ± 0.074
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.689 ± 0.010	0.726 ± 0.009	0.824 ± 0.021	0.553 ± 0.025
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.677 ± 0.012	0.722 ± 0.009	0.840 ± 0.020	0.513 ± 0.029
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.666 ± 0.014	0.719 ± 0.007	0.853 ± 0.027	0.479 ± 0.050
LDA	0.644 ± 0.009	0.645 ± 0.012	0.648 ± 0.023	0.640 ± 0.020
Logistic Regression	0.605 ± 0.057	0.424 ± 0.204	0.339 ± 0.200	0.870 ± 0.089
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.690 ± 0.007	0.719 ± 0.007	0.791 ± 0.022	0.589 ± 0.027
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.684 ± 0.009	0.726 ± 0.008	0.837 ± 0.015	0.531 ± 0.012
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.671 ± 0.012	0.721 ± 0.008	0.848 ± 0.026	0.494 ± 0.039
SVM	0.663 ± 0.013	0.673 ± 0.015	0.694 ± 0.024	0.632 ± 0.023
DIAG	0.633 ± 0.011	0.619 ± 0.028	0.599 ± 0.055	0.668 ± 0.046
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.625 ± 0.044	0.569 ± 0.192	0.562 ± 0.193	0.689 ± 0.108
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.626 ± 0.044	0.569 ± 0.192	0.561 ± 0.192	0.691 ± 0.106
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.639 ± 0.011	0.633 ± 0.022	0.624 ± 0.039	0.653 ± 0.025
Days in Advance: 60				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.635 ± 0.026	0.539 ± 0.087	0.449 ± 0.141	0.820 ± 0.091
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.634 ± 0.027	0.536 ± 0.089	0.445 ± 0.144	0.823 ± 0.091
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.692 ± 0.006	0.729 ± 0.006	0.827 ± 0.014	0.557 ± 0.015
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.682 ± 0.008	0.730 ± 0.004	0.860 ± 0.019	0.504 ± 0.031
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.674 ± 0.014	0.726 ± 0.005	0.864 ± 0.025	0.483 ± 0.051
LDA	0.642 ± 0.011	0.643 ± 0.015	0.645 ± 0.025	0.638 ± 0.017
Logistic Regression	0.623 ± 0.048	0.489 ± 0.184	0.411 ± 0.195	0.835 ± 0.105
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.691 ± 0.008	0.717 ± 0.009	0.781 ± 0.019	0.601 ± 0.017
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.689 ± 0.004	0.733 ± 0.004	0.854 ± 0.013	0.524 ± 0.017
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.676 ± 0.013	0.727 ± 0.005	0.863 ± 0.024	0.488 ± 0.048
SVM	0.662 ± 0.008	0.668 ± 0.012	0.681 ± 0.023	0.642 ± 0.017
DIAG	0.634 ± 0.012	0.613 ± 0.026	0.582 ± 0.053	0.687 ± 0.049
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.627 ± 0.044	0.565 ± 0.189	0.545 ± 0.185	0.709 ± 0.101
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.642 ± 0.010	0.634 ± 0.015	0.620 ± 0.028	0.663 ± 0.028
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.641 ± 0.010	0.636 ± 0.012	0.627 ± 0.021	0.655 ± 0.022
Days in Advance: 90				
AdaBoost ($\times 10$)	0.633 ± 0.027	0.536 ± 0.089	0.447 ± 0.140	0.818 ± 0.086
AdaBoost ($\times 50$)	0.631 ± 0.026	0.535 ± 0.087	0.445 ± 0.137	0.818 ± 0.085
CRDA ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.686 ± 0.006	0.721 ± 0.009	0.813 ± 0.029	0.558 ± 0.026
CRDA ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.675 ± 0.007	0.720 ± 0.006	0.838 ± 0.021	0.512 ± 0.028
CRDA ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.671 ± 0.009	0.719 ± 0.004	0.844 ± 0.028	0.497 ± 0.043
LDA	0.648 ± 0.009	0.648 ± 0.018	0.651 ± 0.037	0.644 ± 0.025
Logistic Regression	0.628 ± 0.028	0.520 ± 0.095	0.427 ± 0.146	0.828 ± 0.090
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 1.0$)	0.687 ± 0.009	0.713 ± 0.014	0.778 ± 0.033	0.597 ± 0.022
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 10.0$)	0.683 ± 0.006	0.725 ± 0.008	0.839 ± 0.021	0.527 ± 0.018
E^2D^2 ($\lambda = 100.0$)	0.673 ± 0.008	0.720 ± 0.005	0.841 ± 0.024	0.505 ± 0.037
SVM	0.666 ± 0.009	0.672 ± 0.014	0.687 ± 0.030	0.644 ± 0.023
DIAG	0.635 ± 0.015	0.621 ± 0.030	0.601 ± 0.053	0.668 ± 0.032
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.25$)	0.638 ± 0.012	0.631 ± 0.027	0.621 ± 0.051	0.656 ± 0.032
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.5$)	0.642 ± 0.011	0.635 ± 0.026	0.626 ± 0.050	0.657 ± 0.032
Shrinkage ($\beta = 0.75$)	0.641 ± 0.010	0.635 ± 0.024	0.628 ± 0.046	0.655 ± 0.030